

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2005

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 1-7945



DELUXE

DELUXE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Minnesota

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

41-0216800

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

3680 Victoria St. N., Shoreview, Minnesota

(Address of principal executive offices)

55126-2966

(Zip Code)

(651) 483-7111

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The number of shares outstanding of registrant's common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, at July 29, 2005 was 50,629,217.

1

---

PART I-FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

DELUXE CORPORATION  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share par value)  
(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 33,065	\$ 15,492
Restricted cash	—	517
Trade accounts receivable (net of allowances for uncollectible accounts of \$7,298 and \$5,199, respectively)	97,196	110,529
Inventories and supplies	39,628	38,890
Deferred income taxes	10,932	13,531
Current assets of discontinued operations	23,144	22,641
Other current assets	55,667	38,786
Total current assets	259,632	240,386
Long-term Investments	47,826	47,529
Property, Plant, and Equipment (net of accumulated depreciation of \$294,056 and \$293,477, respectively)	153,478	158,162
Assets Held for Sale	6,435	7,719
Intangibles (net of accumulated amortization of \$252,174 and \$206,265, respectively)	266,549	297,184
Goodwill	580,226	580,740
Non-Current Assets of Discontinued Operations	6,460	6,964
Other Non-Current Assets	187,123	160,395
Total assets	\$ 1,507,729	\$ 1,499,079
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 75,918	\$ 72,984
Accrued liabilities	136,881	202,979
Short-term debt	291,313	264,000
Long-term debt due within one year	26,297	26,359
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	4,875	4,876
Total current liabilities	535,284	571,198
Long-term Debt	953,357	953,848
Deferred Income Taxes	81,237	82,489
Non-Current Liabilities of Discontinued Operations	3,339	3,490
Other Non-Current Liabilities	58,592	66,545
Shareholders' Deficit:		
Common shares \$1 par value (authorized: 500,000 shares; issued: 2005 – 50,617; 2004 – 50,266)	50,617	50,266
Additional paid-in capital	33,586	20,761
Accumulated deficit	(194,798)	(235,651)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(13,485)	(13,867)
Total shareholders' deficit	(124,080)	(178,491)
Total liabilities and shareholders' deficit	\$ 1,507,729	\$ 1,499,079

See Condensed Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

2

**DELUXE CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**  
(In thousands, except per share amounts)  
(Unaudited)

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Revenue	\$ 434,476	\$ 309,068	\$ 871,796	\$ 617,900
Cost of goods sold	150,773	102,624	302,844	209,510
Gross Profit	283,703	206,444	568,952	408,390
Selling, general and administrative expense	201,944	126,521	410,467	246,661

Operating Income	81,759	79,923	158,485	161,729
Other (expense) income	(41)	280	532	653
Income Before Interest and Taxes	81,718	80,203	159,017	162,382
Interest expense	(14,574)	(5,211)	(27,974)	(10,377)
Interest income	218	83	357	196
Income Before Income Taxes	67,362	75,075	131,400	152,201
Provision for income taxes	25,399	29,049	49,669	58,513
Income From Continuing Operations	41,963	46,026	81,731	93,688
Discontinued Operations:				
Income (loss) from operations	166	(83)	(449)	(83)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(73)	45	146	45
Net Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations	93	(38)	(303)	(38)
Net Income	\$ 42,056	\$ 45,988	\$ 81,428	\$ 93,650
Basic Earnings per Share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.92	\$ 1.62	\$ 1.87
Loss from discontinued operations	—	—	(0.01)	—
Basic Earnings per Share	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.92	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.87
Diluted Earnings per Share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.86
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	0.01	—	(0.01)	—
Diluted Earnings per Share	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.86
Cash Dividends per Share	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.74
Total Comprehensive Income	\$ 42,108	\$ 44,091	\$ 81,810	\$ 91,816

See Condensed Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

3

**DELUXE CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(In thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	2005	Six Months Ended June 30, 2004
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$ 81,428	\$ 93,650
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations:		
Net loss from discontinued operations	303	38
Depreciation	14,213	9,866
Amortization of intangibles	45,941	20,714
Amortization of contract acquisition costs	16,750	16,368
Employee stock-based compensation expense	4,825	5,807
Other non-cash items, net	9,517	4,138
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects of acquisition and discontinued operations:		
Trade accounts receivable	9,211	(368)
Inventories and supplies	(771)	1,345
Other current assets	(19,299)	(20,405)

Contract acquisition payments	(63,506)	(9,246)
Deferred advertising costs	3,596	4,111
Other non-current assets	6,200	(1,165)
Accounts payable	(6,732)	2,483
Accrued and other non-current liabilities	(56,719)	(3,790)
Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations	44,957	123,546
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>		
Payments for acquisition, net of cash acquired	(899)	(550,111)
Change in restricted cash	517	(23,788)
Purchases of capital assets	(26,230)	(13,688)
Other	2,133	(458)
Net cash used by investing activities of continuing operations	(24,479)	(588,045)
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>		
Net borrowings of short-term debt	27,313	702,891
Payments on long-term debt	(690)	(165,617)
Change in book overdrafts	3,584	(3,979)
Payments for common shares repurchased	—	(26,637)
Proceeds from issuing shares under employee plans	8,404	11,098
Cash dividends paid to shareholders	(40,575)	(37,115)
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities of continuing operations	(1,964)	480,641
Effect of Exchange Rate Change on Cash	(237)	—
Net Cash Used by Discontinued Operations	(704)	—
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	17,573	16,142
Cash and Cash Equivalents: Beginning of Period	15,492	2,968
End of Period	\$ 33,065	\$ 19,110

See Condensed Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

4

**DELUXE CORPORATION**  
**CONDENSED NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**Note 1: Consolidated financial statements**

The consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2005, the consolidated statements of income for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 are unaudited. In the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements are included. Adjustments consist only of normal recurring items, except for any discussed in the notes below. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The consolidated financial statements and notes are presented in accordance with instructions for Form 10-Q, and do not contain certain information included in our consolidated annual financial statements and notes. The consolidated financial statements and notes appearing in this report should be read in conjunction with the consolidated audited financial statements and related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.

**Note 2: Employee stock-based compensation**

On January 1, 2004, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. We reported this change in accounting principle using the modified prospective method of adoption described in SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation – Transition and Disclosure*. Beginning in 2004, our results of operations reflect compensation expense for all employee stock-based compensation, including the unvested portion of stock options granted prior to January 1, 2004. This is the same amount of compensation expense which would have been recognized had the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 been applied from their original effective date.

**Note 3: New accounting pronouncements**

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 109-2, *Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004*. This FSP allows additional time for companies to determine how the new law affects a company's accounting for deferred tax liabilities on unremitted foreign earnings. The new law provides for a special one-time deduction of 85% of certain foreign earnings that are repatriated and which meet certain requirements. We are currently evaluating whether any of the earnings of our Canadian operations will be repatriated in accordance with the terms of this

law. Any repatriation of these earnings must occur in 2005. We have completed our analysis and expect to decide on a plan for repatriation of our unremitted foreign earnings in the third quarter of 2005. The maximum amount of earnings that could be repatriated is approximately \$34 million, which would result in tax expense of approximately \$2.4 million.

5

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision to SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. The new statement is referred to as SFAS No. 123(R) and is entitled *Share-Based Payment*. The new statement requires companies to recognize expense for stock-based compensation in the statement of income and is effective for us on January 1, 2006. We do not expect the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) to result in a significant change in the compensation expense we currently recognize in our statements of income under SFAS No. 123. In conjunction with our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) in 2006, we will modify our method of recognizing compensation expense for stock option awards granted to individuals achieving "qualified retiree" status prior to completion of the option's normal vesting period. Currently, we recognize expense for such awards over their applicable vesting period, with cost recognition accelerated if and when an employee retires with qualified retiree status. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we will recognize the entire expense for these awards over the period from the date of grant until the date an employee is expected to achieve qualified retiree status under the terms of the applicable option agreement. If we had applied this accounting methodology during the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, it would have had no impact on the diluted earnings per share we reported for those periods.

**Note 4: Supplementary balance sheet information**

*Inventories and supplies* – Inventories and supplies were comprised of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Raw materials	\$ 8,844	\$ 12,377
Semi-finished goods	14,948	6,321
Finished goods	7,511	11,732
	<u>31,303</u>	<u>30,430</u>
Supplies, primarily production	8,325	8,460
	<u>\$ 39,628</u>	<u>\$ 38,890</u>

*Other current assets* – Other current assets were comprised of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Prepayment to voluntary employee beneficiary association (VEBA) trust	\$ 35,104	\$ 16,230
Cash held for customers	11,358	9,759
Other	9,205	12,797
	<u>\$ 55,667</u>	<u>\$ 38,786</u>

6

We maintain a VEBA trust to fund employee and retiree medical costs, as well as severance benefits. We typically make the majority of our contributions to this trust in the first quarter of the year and fund our obligations from the trust assets throughout the year. During the six months ended June 30, 2005, we contributed \$36.5 million to the trust.

*Assets held for sale* – Assets held for sale as of June 30, 2005 include three Financial Services check printing facilities, one Small Business Services printing facility which we closed during 2004, as well as one Small Business Services facility which was closed prior to our acquisition of New England Business Service, Inc. (NEBS) in June 2004. We continue to actively market these properties, which are in various stages of the sales process. These same assets were held for sale as of December 31, 2004, as was one additional Financial Services check printing facility which we sold during the second quarter of 2005.

*Intangibles* – Intangibles were comprised of the following (in thousands):

June 30, 2005

December 31, 2004

	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying amount	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying amount
<b>Indefinite lives:</b>						
Trade names	\$ 59,400	\$ —	\$ 59,400	\$ 59,400	\$ —	\$ 59,400
<b>Amortizable intangibles:</b>						
Internal-use software	282,205	(204,678)	77,527	266,814	(180,005)	86,809
Customer lists	108,864	(34,415)	74,449	108,950	(19,431)	89,519
Distributor contracts	30,900	(6,457)	24,443	30,900	(3,323)	27,577
Trade names	30,178	(4,673)	25,505	30,200	(2,105)	28,095
Other	7,176	(1,951)	5,225	7,185	(1,401)	5,784
<b>Amortizable intangibles</b>	<b>459,323</b>	<b>(252,174)</b>	<b>207,149</b>	<b>444,049</b>	<b>(206,265)</b>	<b>237,784</b>
<b>Intangibles</b>	<b>\$ 518,723</b>	<b>\$ (252,174)</b>	<b>\$ 266,549</b>	<b>\$ 503,449</b>	<b>\$ (206,265)</b>	<b>\$ 297,184</b>

Total amortization of intangibles for continuing operations was \$22.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 and \$11.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2004. Amortization of intangibles was \$45.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and \$20.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2004. Amortization related to the intangible assets acquired as part of the NEBS acquisition (see Note 6) is included in the 2005 amounts. Based on the intangibles in service as of June 30, 2005, estimated amortization expense is as follows (in thousands):

Remainder of 2005	\$ 31,611
2006	52,545
2007	36,979
2008	26,123
2009	15,117

7

*Goodwill* – Changes in goodwill during the first six months of 2005 were as follows (in thousands):

Balance, December 31, 2004	\$ 580,740
Adjustment to NEBS restructuring accruals (see Note 8)	(514)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2005</b>	<b>\$ 580,226</b>

*Other non-current assets* – Other non-current assets were comprised of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Contract acquisition costs (net of accumulated amortization of \$59,810 and \$45,943, respectively)	\$ 105,259	\$ 83,825
Deferred advertising costs	27,851	31,455
Prepaid post-retirement asset	23,835	22,089
Other	30,178	23,026
<b>Other non-current assets</b>	<b>\$ 187,123</b>	<b>\$ 160,395</b>

Changes in contract acquisition costs during the first six months of 2005 were as follows (in thousands):

Balance, December 31, 2004	\$ 83,825
Cash payments	63,506
Decrease in contract acquisition obligations	(19,715)
Amortization	(16,750)
Refunds from contract terminations	(5,607)
<b>Balance, June 30, 2005</b>	<b>\$ 105,259</b>

Accrued liabilities – Accrued liabilities were comprised of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Employee profit sharing and pension	\$ 21,750	\$ 45,343
Accrued wages, including vacation	18,963	16,528
Customer rebates	18,347	29,504
Income taxes	13,669	22,281
Restructuring due within one year (see Note 8)	6,843	12,647
Contract acquisition payments due within one year	6,553	11,505
Other	50,756	65,171
Accrued liabilities	<u>\$ 136,881</u>	<u>\$ 202,979</u>

8

#### Note 5: Earnings per share

The following table reflects the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
<b>Earnings per share – basic:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 41,963	\$ 46,026	\$ 81,731	\$ 93,688
Weighted-average shares outstanding	50,573	49,977	50,478	50,061
Earnings per share – basic	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.92	\$ 1.62	\$ 1.87
<b>Earnings per share – diluted:</b>				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 41,963	\$ 46,026	\$ 81,731	\$ 93,688
Weighted-average shares outstanding	50,573	49,977	50,478	50,061
Dilutive impact of options	366	389	346	392
Shares contingently issuable	28	27	21	20
Weighted-average shares and potential dilutive shares outstanding	50,967	50,393	50,845	50,473
Earnings per share – diluted	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.91	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.86
Antidilutive options excluded from calculation (weighted-average amount for six month periods)	1,418	1,283	1,856	1,847

During each period, certain options were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their effect would have been antidilutive.

#### Note 6: Acquisition of New England Business Service, Inc.

On June 25, 2004, we acquired all of the outstanding common stock of NEBS for \$44 per share and agreed to redeem all outstanding NEBS stock options for \$44 per option share less the option exercise price. The total purchase price, including direct costs of the acquisition, was \$639.8 million. To finance the acquisition, we utilized a bridge financing agreement, as well as commercial paper. This debt was re-financed in the fourth quarter of 2004 when we issued \$600.0 million of long-term debt. Further details concerning this long-term debt can be found in Note 9.

NEBS is a leading supplier of products and services to small businesses. Its offerings include checks, forms, packaging supplies, embossed foil anniversary seals, promotional products and other printed material which are marketed through direct response marketing, financial institution referrals, independent distributors, sales representatives and the internet. NEBS results of operations are included in our consolidated results of operations from the acquisition date. During the second quarter of 2005, we reduced the fair value of restructuring accruals acquired and increased goodwill by \$0.5 million due to a change in estimate. Details concerning the assets acquired and liabilities assumed are presented in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. We believe that the NEBS acquisition resulted in the recognition of goodwill primarily because of its industry position, the potential to introduce products across multiple channels and the ability to realize cost synergies. NEBS results of operations are included in our Small Business Services segment, except for those portions which are reported as discontinued operations (see Note 7).

9

The following pro forma financial information illustrates our estimated results of operations for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2004 as if the acquisition of NEBS had occurred on January 1, 2004 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Quarter Ended June 30, 2004	Six Months Ended June 30, 2004
Revenue	\$ 461,891	\$ 935,135
Net income	41,969	81,368
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.84	\$ 1.63
Diluted	0.83	1.61

**Note 7: Discontinued operations**

During the fourth quarter of 2004, we disposed of substantially all of the operations of NEBS European businesses. Not included in this sale was a building located in the United Kingdom. This building is currently for sale and is expected to be sold during 2005.

Also during the fourth quarter of 2004, we announced the planned sale of NEBS' apparel business known as PremiumWear. We anticipate that this sale will be completed in 2005.

The results of operations of these businesses are reflected as discontinued operations in our consolidated financial statements. The major classes of assets and liabilities of discontinued operations were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ —	\$ 3
Trade accounts receivable	5,735	5,640
Inventories and supplies	12,287	12,645
Deferred income taxes	3,583	2,442
Other current assets	1,539	1,911
Current assets of discontinued operations	23,144	22,641
Property, plant and equipment	2,363	2,514
Deferred income taxes	4,097	4,450
Non-current assets of discontinued operations	6,460	6,964
Accounts payable	(1,165)	(1,373)
Accrued liabilities	(3,710)	(3,503)
Current liabilities of discontinued operations	(4,875)	(4,876)
Non-current liabilities of discontinued operations	(3,339)	(3,490)
Net assets of discontinued operations	\$ 21,390	\$ 21,239

Revenue and income (loss) from discontinued operations for the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were as follows (in thousands):

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
Revenue	\$ 13,415	\$ 311	\$ 24,428	\$ 311
Income (loss) from operations	166	(83)	(449)	(83)
Income tax (expense) benefit	(73)	45	146	45
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ 93	\$ (38)	\$ (303)	\$ (38)



**Note 8: Restructuring accruals**

Restructuring accruals of \$8.7 million as of June 30, 2005 and \$16.9 million as of December 31, 2004 are reflected in accrued liabilities and other non-current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Our restructuring accruals consist primarily of employee severance benefits and mainly relate to NEBS activities we are exiting as we combine the two businesses. Further information regarding our restructuring accruals can be found under the caption "Note 6: Restructuring accruals" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. As of June 30, 2005, there were 486 employees yet to be terminated under our current initiatives.

During the second quarter of 2005, we reduced the restructuring accruals related to the NEBS acquisition by \$0.5 million due to a change in estimate. This adjustment reduced goodwill and thus, is not reflected in our consolidated statements of income for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2005.

Changes in the restructuring accruals during the first six months of 2005 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2003 initiatives		2004 initiatives		NEBS acquisition-related		Total	
	Amount	No. of employees affected	Amount	No. of employees affected	Amount	No. of employees affected	Amount	No. of employees affected
Balance, December 31, 2004	\$ 4	3	\$ 2,351	40	\$ 14,556	625	\$ 16,911	668
Restructuring charges	25	—	186	3	—	—	211	3
Restructuring reversals	—	—	(294)	(18)	(514)	(111)	(808)	(129)
Payments, primarily severance	(29)	(3)	(2,015)	(24)	(5,607)	(29)	(7,651)	(56)
Balance, June 30, 2005	\$ —	—	\$ 228	1	\$ 8,435	485	\$ 8,663	486

On a cumulative basis through June 30, 2005, the status of our restructuring accruals was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2003 initiatives		2004 initiatives		NEBS acquisition-related		Total	
	Amount	No. of employees affected	Amount	No. of employees affected	Amount	No. of employees affected	Amount	No. of employees affected
Restructuring accruals	\$ 11,999	635	\$ 5,701	486	\$ 30,244	886	\$ 47,944	2,007
Restructuring reversals	(1,320)	(62)	(428)	(36)	(514)	(113)	(2,262)	(211)
Payments, primarily severance	(10,679)	(573)	(5,045)	(449)	(21,295)	(288)	(37,019)	(1,310)
Balance, June 30, 2005	\$ —	—	\$ 228	1	\$ 8,435	485	\$ 8,663	486

11

**Note 9: Debt**

Total debt outstanding was comprised of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
3.5% senior, unsecured notes due October 1, 2007, net of discount	\$ 324,849	\$ 324,815
5.0% senior, unsecured notes due December 15, 2012, net of discount	298,588	298,494
5.125% senior, unsecured notes due October 1, 2014, net of discount	274,430	274,399
2.75% senior, unsecured notes due September 15, 2006	50,000	50,000
Long-term portion of capital lease obligations	5,490	6,140
Long-term portion of debt	953,357	953,848
Commercial paper	291,313	264,000
Variable rate senior, unsecured notes due November 4, 2005	25,000	25,000
Capital lease obligations due within one year	1,297	1,359
Total debt	\$ 1,270,967	\$ 1,244,207

In October 2004, we issued \$325.0 million of 3.5% senior, unsecured notes maturing on October 1, 2007 and \$275.0 million of 5.125% senior, unsecured notes maturing on October 1, 2014. The notes were issued via a private placement under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. These notes were subsequently registered with the Securities and

Exchange Commission (SEC) via a registration statement which became effective on November 23, 2004. Interest payments are due each April and October. Principal redemptions on the three-year notes may not be made prior to their stated maturity. Principal redemptions on the ten-year notes may be made at our election prior to their stated maturity. The notes include covenants that place restrictions on the issuance of additional debt that would be senior to the notes and the execution of certain sale-leaseback agreements. The notes were issued at a discount from par value. The resulting discount of \$0.8 million is being amortized ratably as an increase to interest expense over the terms of the notes. Proceeds from the offering, net of offering costs, were \$595.5 million. These proceeds were used to pay off commercial paper borrowings used for the acquisition of NEBS (see Note 6). The fair market value of these notes was \$597.2 million as of June 30, 2005, based on quoted market prices.

In December 2002, we issued \$300.0 million of 5.0% senior, unsecured notes maturing on December 15, 2012. These notes were issued under our shelf registration statement covering up to \$300.0 million in medium-term notes, which became effective on October 27, 1995, thereby exhausting that registration statement. Interest payments are due each June and December. Principal redemptions may be made at our election prior to their stated maturity. The notes include covenants that place restrictions on the issuance of additional debt that would be senior to the notes and the execution of certain sale-leaseback agreements. The notes were issued at a discount from par value. The resulting discount of \$1.9 million is being amortized ratably as an increase to interest expense over the ten-year term of the notes. Proceeds from the offering, net of offering costs, were \$295.7 million. These proceeds were used for general corporate purposes, including funding share repurchases, capital asset purchases and working capital. The fair value of these notes was \$293.6 million as of June 30, 2005, based on quoted market prices.

In September 2003, we issued \$50.0 million of 2.75% senior, unsecured notes maturing on September 15, 2006. The notes were issued under a shelf registration statement which became effective on July 8, 2003 and allows for the issuance of debt securities, from time to time, up to an aggregate of \$500.0 million. Interest payments are due each March and September. Principal redemptions may be made at our election prior to their stated maturity. The notes include covenants that place restrictions on the issuance of additional debt that would be senior to the notes and the execution of certain sale-leaseback agreements. Proceeds from the offering, net of offering costs, were \$49.8 million. These proceeds were used for general corporate purposes, including funding share repurchases, capital asset purchases and working capital. The fair value of these notes was \$49.2 million as of June 30, 2005, based on quoted market prices.

In November 2003, we issued \$25.0 million of variable rate, senior, unsecured notes maturing on November 4, 2005. The notes were issued under the July 8, 2003 shelf registration statement. Interest payments are due each February, May, August and November at an annual interest rate equal to the 3-month London InterBank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus .05%. This interest rate is reset on a quarterly basis. Principal redemptions may be made at our election prior to their stated maturity. The notes include covenants that place restrictions on the issuance of additional debt that would be senior to the notes and the execution of certain sale-leaseback agreements. Proceeds from the offering were \$25.0 million. These proceeds were used for general corporate purposes, including funding share repurchases, capital asset purchases and working capital. The fair value of these notes was estimated to be \$25.0 million as of June 30, 2005, based on a broker quote.

12

As of June 30, 2005, we had a \$500.0 million commercial paper program in place. The daily average amount of commercial paper outstanding during the first six months of 2005 was \$261.4 million at a weighted-average interest rate of 2.88%. As of June 30, 2005, \$291.3 million was outstanding at a weighted-average interest rate of 3.23%. The daily average amount of commercial paper outstanding during 2004 was \$344.7 million at a weighted-average interest rate of 1.59%. As of December 31, 2004, \$264.0 million was outstanding at a weighted-average interest rate of 2.45%.

We also have committed lines of credit which primarily support our commercial paper program. The credit agreements governing the lines of credit contain customary covenants regarding the ratio of earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) to interest expense and levels of subsidiary indebtedness. No amounts were drawn on these lines of credit during the first six months of 2005 or during 2004, and no amounts were outstanding under these lines of credit as of June 30, 2005. To the extent not needed to support outstanding commercial paper, we may borrow funds under our committed lines of credit. As of June 30, 2005, \$208.7 million was available under our committed lines of credit for borrowing or for support of additional commercial paper, as follows (in thousands):

	Total available	Expiration date	Commitment fee
364-day line of credit	\$ 100,000	July 2005	.100%
Five year line of credit	175,000	August 2007	.125%
Five year line of credit	225,000	July 2009	.125%
Total committed lines of credit	500,000		
Commercial paper outstanding	(291,313)		
Net available for borrowing as of June 30, 2005	\$ 208,687		

On July 20, 2005, we entered into a new \$275.0 million line of credit which expires in July 2010 and carries a commitment fee of .09%. This new line of credit replaced our \$100.0 million 364-day line of credit which expired on July 20, 2005, as well as our \$175.0 million five year line of credit scheduled to expire in August 2007.

We also have uncommitted bank overdraft protection for \$50.0 million. No amounts were drawn on this overdraft protection during the first six months of 2005 or during 2004.

Absent certain defined events of default under our committed credit facilities, there are no significant contractual restrictions on our ability to pay cash dividends.

#### **Note 10: Pension and post-retirement benefits**

We have historically provided certain health care benefits for a large number of retired employees. In addition to our post-retirement benefit plans, we have a supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP) for certain NEBS employees and a pension plan which covers certain Canadian employees.

Our pension and post-retirement benefit expense for the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 consisted of the following components (in thousands):

	Post-retirement benefit plans		Pension plans
	2005	2004	2005
Service cost	\$ 195	\$ 182	\$ 68
Interest cost	1,729	1,444	137
Expected return on plan assets	(1,674)	(1,635)	(64)
Amortization of prior service benefit	(654)	(654)	—
Recognized amortization of net actuarial losses	2,344	1,759	—
Total benefit expense	\$ 1,940	\$ 1,096	\$ 141

Our pension and post-retirement benefit expense for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 consisted of the following components (in thousands):

	Post-retirement benefit plans		Pension plans
	2005	2004	2005
Service cost	\$ 391	\$ 387	\$ 136
Interest cost	3,458	3,039	273
Expected return on plan assets	(3,348)	(3,105)	(127)
Amortization of prior service benefit	(1,308)	(1,309)	—
Recognized amortization of net actuarial losses	4,687	3,827	—
Total benefit expense	\$ 3,880	\$ 2,839	\$ 282

During 2005, we expect to make benefit payments of approximately \$10.0 million for our post-retirement medical plans. We also anticipate funding \$0.5 million to our Canadian pension plan, as well as making benefit payments of \$0.6 million for our pension plans.

On January 21, 2005, final regulations implementing the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 were issued. We do not believe that these regulations will have a significant impact on the accumulated post-retirement benefit obligation or the periodic benefit expense related to our post-retirement benefit plans.

#### Note 11: Shareholders' deficit

We are in a shareholders' deficit position primarily as a result of our share repurchase programs and their required accounting treatment. Share repurchases are reflected as reductions of shareholders' equity in the consolidated balance sheets. Under the laws of Minnesota, our state of incorporation, shares which we repurchase are considered to be authorized and unissued shares. Thus, share repurchases are not presented as a separate treasury stock caption in our consolidated balance sheets, but are recorded as direct reductions of common shares, additional paid-in capital and retained earnings.

In August 2002, our board of directors approved the repurchase of 12 million shares. These repurchases were completed in September 2003 at a cost of \$503.2 million. In August 2003, the board authorized the repurchase of up to 10 million additional shares of our common stock. Through June 30, 2005, 2.1 million of these additional shares had been repurchased at a cost of \$85.0 million. There have been no share repurchases under this authorization since the second quarter of 2004.

Changes in shareholders' deficit during the six months ended June 30, 2005 were as follows (in thousands):

	Common shares		Additional paid-in capital	Accumulated deficit	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	Total shareholders' deficit
	Number of shares	Par value				
Balance, December 31, 2004	50,266	\$ 50,266	\$ 20,761	\$ (235,651)	\$ (13,867)	\$ (178,491)
Net income	—	—	—	81,428	—	81,428
Cash dividends	—	—	—	(40,575)	—	(40,575)
Common shares issued	390	390	8,014	—	—	8,404
Tax benefit of stock options	—	—	1,479	—	—	1,479
Common shares retired	(40)	(40)	(1,492)	—	—	(1,532)

Fair value of employee stock-based compensation	1	1	4,824	—	—	4,825
Amortization of loss on derivatives, net of tax	—	—	—	—	1,288	1,288
Foreign currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	(792)	(792)
Unrealized loss on securities, net of tax	—	—	—	—	(114)	(114)
Balance, June 30, 2005	50,617	\$ 50,617	\$ 33,586	\$ (194,798)	\$ (13,485)	\$ (124,080)

Accumulated other comprehensive loss was comprised of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Unrealized loss on derivatives	\$ (15,009)	\$ (16,297)
Unrealized (loss) gain on securities	(4)	110
Foreign currency translation adjustment	1,528	2,320
Total	\$ (13,485)	\$ (13,867)

## Note 12: Business segment information

We operate three business segments: Small Business Services, Financial Services and Direct Checks. Our Small Business Services segment consists of the acquired NEBS business (see Note 6), as well as our former Business Services segment. This segment sells checks, forms and related products to small businesses and home offices through direct response marketing, financial institution referrals, sales representatives, independent distributors and the internet. Financial Services sells checks and related products and services to financial institutions. Direct Checks sells checks and related products directly to consumers through direct mail and the internet. All three segments operate primarily in the United States. Small Business Services also has operations in Canada.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. During 2004, corporate expenses were allocated to the segments based on segment revenues. On April 1, 2005, we modified our methodology for allocating corporate costs. Prior to this date, we did not allocate any corporate costs to the NEBS portion of our Small Business Services segment, as NEBS operations were not fully integrated into our corporate functions. On April 1, 2005, NEBS implemented certain of our corporate information systems and began utilizing corporate shared services functions. As such, we began allocating corporate costs to the NEBS portion of the Small Business Services segment for those corporate functions being utilized by the NEBS business. The corporate allocation includes expenses for various support activities such as executive management, finance and human resources and includes depreciation and amortization expense related to corporate assets. The corresponding corporate asset balances are not allocated to the segments. Corporate assets consist primarily of cash, deferred tax assets, investments and internal-use software related to corporate activities.

15

We are an integrated enterprise, characterized by substantial intersegment cooperation, cost allocations and the sharing of assets. Therefore, we do not represent that these segments, if operated independently, would report the operating income and other financial information shown.

The following is our segment information as of and for the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

		Reportable Business Segments				Corporate	Consolidated
		Small Business Services	Financial Services	Direct Checks			
Revenue from external customers:	2005	\$ 223,751	\$ 149,378	\$ 61,347	\$ —	\$ 434,476	
	2004	69,098	168,954	71,016	—	309,068	
Operating income:	2005	21,903	40,243	19,613	—	81,759	
	2004	19,154	40,484	20,285	—	79,923	
Depreciation and amortization:	2005	18,108	9,327	2,049	—	29,484	
	2004	2,070	11,631	2,629	—	16,330	
Total assets:	2005	967,036	202,233	129,317	209,143	1,507,729	
	2004	977,986	250,971	139,658	165,945	1,534,560	
Capital purchases:	2005	3,693	3,068	306	7,074	14,141	
	2004	202	2,359	656	6,497	9,714	

The following is our segment information as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

Reportable Business Segments

		Small Business Services	Financial Services	Direct Checks	Corporate	Consolidated
Revenue from external customers:	2005	\$ 448,932	\$ 294,608	\$ 128,256	\$ —	\$ 871,796
	2004	132,174	337,606	148,120	—	617,900
Operating income:	2005	46,902	71,686	39,897	—	158,485
	2004	39,336	81,356	41,037	—	161,729
Depreciation and amortization:	2005	36,408	19,452	4,294	—	60,154
	2004	3,642	22,032	4,906	—	30,580
Total assets:	2005	967,036	202,233	129,317	209,143	1,507,729
	2004	977,986	250,971	139,658	165,945	1,534,560
Capital purchases:	2005	5,143	4,578	523	15,986	26,230
	2004	262	4,388	1,187	7,851	13,688

16

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

We provide a wide range of customized products and services: personalized printed items (checks, forms, business cards, stationery, greeting cards, labels and shipping and packaging supplies), promotional products and merchandising materials, fraud prevention services and customer retention programs. Through our various brands and businesses, our product offerings help financial institutions and small businesses better manage, promote, and grow their businesses. We also sell personalized checks and accessories directly to consumers. We are the largest provider of checks in the United States, both in terms of revenue and number of checks produced.

On June 25, 2004, we acquired New England Business Service, Inc. (NEBS). NEBS is a leading provider of business forms, checks and related products and services to small businesses. Accordingly, our consolidated results of operations include the results of NEBS from the acquisition date.

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### Consolidated Results of Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2005

- Revenue was \$871.8 million for 2005, up \$253.9 million from 2004. NEBS contributed revenue of \$321.0 million.
- Excluding NEBS, units<sup>(1)</sup> were down 16.8%. The loss of a large financial institution client in late 2004 contributed approximately 9% of this decrease. Revenue per unit increased 8.4% compared to 2004 due, in part, to the fact that the business we had with this financial institution was at higher discount levels due to its volume. Additionally, contract termination payments of \$11.7 million contributed 2.2% of the revenue per unit increase.
- Gross margin was 65.3% compared to 66.1% in 2004. NEBS results decreased our gross margin by 3.7 percentage points, offsetting the improvement from increased revenue per unit, manufacturing efficiencies and cost synergies resulting from the NEBS acquisition. NEBS historically has had lower gross margins than our other businesses because of its diverse product line.
- Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expense was 47.1% of revenue, compared to 39.9% in 2004. NEBS results increased our SG&A percentage by 4.9 percentage points. NEBS historically has had a higher SG&A percentage than our other businesses due to its greater reliance on direct mail and a direct sales force to acquire and retain customers. Additionally, these results included \$20.8 million of amortization expense for certain acquisition-related intangible assets and \$7.7 million of integration costs. Further information concerning the NEBS intangible assets acquired can be found in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- Operating income was \$158.5 million, a decrease of \$3.2 million from 2004 due to the revenue decline, acquisition-related amortization expense and integration costs, partially offset by the contribution of NEBS, productivity improvements, cost management efforts and cost synergies resulting from the NEBS acquisition.
- Operating margin was 18.2% compared to 26.2% in 2004. NEBS results, including acquisition-related amortization expense and integration costs, decreased our operating margin by 8.7 percentage points. The impact of acquisition-related amortization expense and integration costs contributed 3.3 of the 8.7 percentage point decrease attributable to NEBS, with the balance attributable to NEBS historically lower operating margin.
- Interest expense was \$28.0 million, up \$17.6 million from 2004 primarily due to the debt issued to finance the NEBS acquisition, as well as higher interest rates.
- Net income was \$81.4 million, or \$1.60 per diluted share, compared to \$93.7 million, or \$1.86 per diluted share in 2004.

(1) Units represent an equivalent quantity of checks sold calculated across all check-related product lines.

#### Cash Flow/Financial Condition

- Cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations was \$45.0 million for the first half of 2005, down \$78.6 million from the first half of 2004. The decrease was due to higher contract acquisition payments related to financial institution contracts and higher employee profit sharing and pension contributions related to our 2004 operating results. These decreases were partially offset by NEBS operating cash flows.

17

- Purchases of capital assets increased \$12.5 million to \$26.2 million, as compared to the first half of 2004. Capital purchases in 2005 primarily related to our planned installation of the SAP sales and distribution module in portions of our order processing and call center operations, as well as NEBS implementation of certain SAP

finance and other modules in the second quarter of 2005.

- During the first half of 2004, we repurchased common shares for \$26.6 million. We have not purchased common shares under our board-approved share repurchase plan since the second quarter of 2004, as we utilized our resources to complete the acquisition of NEBS.
- Shareholders' deficit decreased by \$54.4 million from December 31, 2004, primarily because we generated net income of \$81.4 million and we issued shares under employee plans of \$8.4 million. These deficit decreases were partially offset by cash dividends of \$40.6 million.

## CONSOLIDATED OVERVIEW

We operate three business segments: Small Business Services, Financial Services and Direct Checks. Our Small Business Services segment is comprised of the acquired NEBS business and our former Business Services segment. This segment sells checks, forms and related products to more than six million small businesses and home offices through direct response marketing, financial institution referrals, independent distributors, sales representatives and the internet. Financial Services sells personal and business checks and related products and services to approximately 8,000 financial institution clients nationwide, including banks, credit unions and financial services companies. Direct Checks is the nation's leading direct-to-consumer check supplier, selling under the Checks Unlimited® and Designer® Checks brands. Through these two brands, Direct Checks sells personal and business checks, as well as related products and services, using direct response marketing and the internet. We are the largest provider of checks in the United States, both in terms of revenue and number of checks produced. All three of our segments operate primarily in the United States. Small Business Services also has operations in Canada.

One of our main focuses continues to be the integration of NEBS. We believe Small Business Services provides one of the most comprehensive product and service offerings for small businesses. This provides the basis for us to increase sales to existing customers, as well as to pursue new customers. The NEBS acquisition also expands our product mix and increases our non-check revenue.

We believe our strong cash flows and our focus on cost management and operational excellence will allow us to maintain our leadership position in the check printing portion of the payments industry and to expand our presence in the small business arena. As a result of our strong credit profile and access to the capital markets, we were able to complete the NEBS acquisition in 2004 by using a combination of short-term and long-term debt financing. We have also used our solid financial profile and related debt capacity to repurchase common shares over the past several years. During 2003 and 2004, we repurchased 12.9 million shares for a total of \$533.8 million. During the first quarter of 2005, we also increased our quarterly dividend to \$0.40 per share, up \$0.03 per share from our previous dividend level. This resulted in a dividend yield of 3.9% based on our June 30, 2005 closing stock price. We were able to increase the quarterly dividend because of our strong operating cash flows and our expectations regarding our future operating performance. Specifically, as a result of the NEBS integration effort, we are able to report that synergies realized from the NEBS integration are meeting our expectations, and we expect the long-term growth opportunities in our Small Business Services segment to offset the check unit decline in our other segments. We believe we have sufficient financial resources to pursue additional acquisitions that leverage our core competencies and are accretive to earnings and cash flow, to strengthen our position in the markets in which we compete and to expand into closely related or adjacent products and services.

At the same time, we face major challenges in our business. Two of our largest product groups, checks and business forms, are mature products and their use has been declining in the marketplace. According to our estimates, the total number of personal, business and government checks written in the United States has been in decline as a result of alternative payment methods such as credit and debit cards, smart cards, electronic and other bill paying services and internet-based payment services. Because check usage is declining, we have also been encountering significant pricing pressure when negotiating contracts with our financial institution clients. Our traditional financial institution relationships are typically formalized through supply contracts averaging three to five years in duration. As we compete to retain and obtain financial institution business in the face of declining volume, the resulting pricing pressure has reduced our profit margins, and we expect this trend to continue. This pressure also impacts the timing of cash flows related to product discounts. Clients may require up-front cash payments, as opposed to receiving higher discount levels throughout the term of the contract.

18

---

A 2004 Federal Reserve study reported that the check is still Americans' largest single non-cash payment method, accounting for approximately 45% of all non-cash payments. This is, however, a decrease from the previous Federal Reserve Study published in 2002 which reported that checks comprised approximately 60% of all non-cash payments. The report does note that this measure excludes checks written which are converted into electronic transactions at the point of sale. The Federal Reserve Study also indicated that consumer checks are declining faster than business checks. This study was completed prior to the implementation of Check 21 legislation in October 2004, which affects how checks can be processed. The new law states that an electronic or paper reproduction of a check is the legal equivalent of the original check. This permits financial institutions to submit these "substitute" checks for processing and clearing. We believe this legislation supports the use of checks by creating a more efficient processing system which is less costly and will allow financial institutions to detect fraud earlier. It remains to be seen what, if any, impact this legislation will have on consumers' use of checks.

Business forms products also may have reached maturity. Continual technological improvements have provided small business customers with alternative means to enact and record business transactions. For example, the price and performance capabilities of personal computers and related printers now provide an alternate means to print business forms. Additionally, electronic transaction systems and off-the-shelf business software applications have been designed to automate several of the functions performed by business forms products.

Our Direct Checks segment and portions of our Small Business Services segment have been impacted by reduced customer response rates to direct mail advertisements. We believe that the decline in customer response rates is attributable to the decline in check usage, the gradual obsolescence of standardized forms products and an overall increase in direct mail solicitations received by our target customers. Because each advertisement is resulting in fewer new customers, the cost to acquire each new customer has increased. Our Direct Checks segment has also been impacted by a lengthening of the check reorder cycle due to the decline in check usage and the multi-box promotional strategies which are standard practice for direct mail sellers of checks, as well as financial institutions providing free checks to consumers.

To offset these challenges, we have focused on driving revenue growth by introducing new product offerings and improving the effectiveness of our call centers. Examples of these efforts are as follows:

- In Small Business Services, we are developing ways to leverage the many small business products and sales channels we now possess as a result of the NEBS acquisition. We recently launched our new Deluxe Business Advantage<sup>(SM)</sup> program. This program provides a fast and simple way for financial institutions to offer expanded personalized service to small business customers based on a number of service level options available. Our customer care center expertise has been combined with our sales force, which makes one-on-one contact with small businesses and financial institution branches. This better positions us to meet the needs of small business and financial institution customers. Our broader set of capabilities also differentiates us from our competitors.
- In June, we launched a new service called Fraud Blocker<sup>(SM)</sup> through the NEBS company brands. This service screens check orders using our proprietary software that compares the characteristics of the orders to those common in fraudulent orders. Additionally, phone orders have the advantage of passing through our agents who are trained to listen for suspicious situations.

- The DeluxeSelect<sup>(SM)</sup> program in Financial Services allows us to interact directly with the customers of financial institutions and to leverage our extensive market research and knowledge of consumer behaviors and preferences. We provide high quality products, superior service, enhanced customer satisfaction and the check program management skills that lead to improved revenue and profitability for financial institutions.
- In Direct Checks, we have attempted to maximize revenue per order by encouraging consumers to place their orders by phone, where our sales associates have the opportunity to interact with the consumer. Typically, phone orders result in higher revenue per order than other order channels. During the second quarter of 2005, 34% of first-time customers placed their orders via the telephone, as compared to 28% in the second quarter of 2004.

The revenue growth efforts we have initiated have led to increased sales of premium-priced licensed and specialty check designs and additional value-added products and services such as fraud prevention and express delivery.

During the first six months of this year, we have acquired several new financial institution clients, including three major financial institutions, and we have renewed our relationship with another major client. We expect that this new business will offset the unit impact of a large financial institution client we lost in late 2004. We expect to see the new business activity grow over the next several months, with the full impact in early 2006.

19

On the cost side of our business, we continue to focus on cost management and operational excellence. In 2004, we closed six printing facilities. We have also implemented other employee reductions over the past year and have announced our intention to close two additional printing facilities. The application of lean principles in our manufacturing area has resulted in increased efficiencies, and we intend to apply these principles throughout the rest of the company. Additionally, in integrating NEBS, we plan to realize cost synergies of at least \$25 million annually beginning this year. These synergies represent reduced costs in relation to NEBS and Deluxe historical results of operations. We expect to realize these synergies through eliminating redundancies, leveraging our shared services environment, realizing the benefits of our increased purchasing power and enhancing productivity by implementing lean principles and sharing best practices. The benefit of these integration synergies will be reflected in the results of all of our business segments.

Looking at economic indicators, a key measure for our Small Business Services segment is small business confidence. Key trends in this area were positive in the first half of 2005. The National Federation of Independent Business (NFIB) reported that small business sales levels, employment and capital spending continued to trend upwards, and the NFIB's measure of small business optimism continued to be strong through June. Our Financial Services and Direct Checks segments are primarily impacted by consumer spending and employment levels. We estimate that consumer spending growth in the first half of 2005 continued at a moderate level, showing a lower increase than we saw in the fourth quarter of 2004. Employment growth was up slightly from 2004. In 2004, an average of 183,000 jobs was created per month, as reported by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). During the second quarter of 2005, BLS reported average job growth per month of 181,000 jobs. There is a correlation between employment and the rate at which consumers open checking accounts. Thus, the employment rate is a key factor for the check printing portion of the payments industry. Our outlook was developed assuming that economic factors will have little impact on our business in 2005.

## CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Quarter Ended June 30, 2005 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2004

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Income from continuing operations	\$ 41,963	\$ 46,026	\$ (4,063)	(8.8%)
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	93	(38)	131	344.7%
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 42,056</b>	<b>\$ 45,988</b>	<b>\$ (3,932)</b>	<b>(8.6%)</b>
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.92	\$ (0.09)	(9.8%)
Diluted	0.83	0.91	(0.08)	(8.8%)
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding:				
Basic	50,573	49,977	596	1.2%
Diluted (includes common stock equivalents)	50,967	50,393	574	1.1%

*Income from continuing operations* – The decrease in income from continuing operations in the second quarter of 2005, as compared to 2004, was due to the loss of a large financial institution client in late 2004, the continuing decline in check usage, amortization resulting from the NEBS acquisition, continued pricing pressure within our Financial Services segment, higher interest expense due to financing associated with the NEBS acquisition and integration costs related to combining NEBS with our other businesses. These decreases were partially offset by the contribution of the NEBS businesses, contract termination payments received of \$11.7 million, manufacturing productivity improvements and cost management efforts, including employee reductions during the past year.

*Income (loss) from discontinued operations* – Discontinued operations in 2005 include the results of the apparel business acquired from NEBS, which we plan to sell during 2005. In addition to the apparel business, discontinued operations in 2004 also include the European operations of NEBS which we sold in the fourth quarter of 2004.

20



## Consolidated Revenue

(dollars in thousands, except per unit amounts)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Revenue	\$ 434,476	\$ 309,068	\$ 125,408	40.6%
Excluding NEBS:				
Units (millions)	17.56	20.95	(3.39)	(16.2%)
Revenue per unit	\$ 15.60	\$ 14.40	\$ 1.20	8.4%

The acquisition of NEBS contributed an increase in revenue of \$153.1 million in the second quarter of 2005. The \$27.7 million decrease in revenue for our other businesses compared to 2004 was due to a 16.2% decrease in units. The loss of a large financial institution client in late 2004 resulted in 9.0% of this decrease and was the largest single contributor to the volume decline. In addition, the volume decrease was due to the overall decline in the number of checks being written as a result of the increasing use of alternative payment methods and the following factors specific to our Direct Checks segment: lower direct mail consumer response rates, longer reorder cycles due to promotional strategies for multi-box orders and lower customer retention. Partially offsetting the volume decline was revenue of \$11.7 million related to contract termination payments received during the second quarter of 2005. These contract termination payments were the primary contributor to our 8.4% increase in revenue per unit as compared to 2004, contributing 4.4% of the increase. We also continued to benefit from increased sales of premium-priced licensed and specialty check designs and additional value-added products and services such as express delivery and fraud prevention, as well as price increases in our Direct Checks and Small Business Services segments. Additionally, the large financial institution client we lost in late 2004 received higher discount levels as a result of its check order volume. Thus, without this client's business, our revenue per unit measure improved. These increases in revenue per unit were partially offset by continued pricing pressure within Financial Services.

## Consolidated Gross Margin

(in thousands)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Gross profit	\$ 283,703	\$ 206,444	\$ 77,259	37.4%
Gross margin	65.3%	66.8%		(1.5) points

Gross margin decreased in the second quarter of 2005, as compared to 2004, due to the addition of NEBS operations. NEBS historical gross margins have been seven to eight points lower than our other businesses because of its non-check product mix. This translated into a 4.0 percentage point decrease in our consolidated gross margin in the second quarter of 2005. Additionally, as discussed earlier, our unit volume decreased 16.2% as compared to 2004. Offsetting these decreases was the higher revenue per unit discussed earlier, including the \$11.7 million of contract termination payments received, continued productivity improvements, on-going cost management efforts, including the closing of six printing facilities in 2004, as well as cost synergies resulting from the NEBS acquisition.

21

## Consolidated Selling, General & Administrative Expense

(in thousands)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Selling, general and administrative expense	\$ 201,944	\$ 126,521	\$ 75,423	59.6%
SG&A as a percentage of revenue	46.5%	40.9%		5.6 points

The increase in SG&A expense in the second quarter of 2005, as compared to 2004, was primarily due to expenses of the acquired NEBS business, \$10.2 million of acquisition-related amortization expense for certain intangible assets and \$4.6 million of integration expenses. Partially offsetting this increase was a \$2.6 million decrease in Direct Checks advertising expense due to spending for new product initiatives in 2004.

SG&A expense as a percentage of revenue increased in the second quarter of 2005, as compared to 2004, primarily due to NEBS results, which increased our SG&A percentage by 4.6 percentage points. NEBS has historically had a higher SG&A percentage than our other businesses due to its greater reliance on direct mail and a direct sales force to acquire and retain customers and not utilizing a shared services environment for SG&A functions. Additionally, as previously discussed, these results included \$10.2 million of acquisition-related amortization expense and \$4.6 million of integration expenses. The increase in SG&A expense as a percentage of revenue for our other businesses was due to the decline in revenue being greater than the reduction in SG&A expense.

## Interest Expense

(in thousands)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Interest expense	\$ 14,574	\$ 5,211	\$ 9,363	179.7%



The increase in interest expense in the second quarter of 2005, as compared to 2004, was due to our higher debt level resulting from the acquisition of NEBS, as well as higher interest rates. During the second quarter of 2005, we had weighted-average debt outstanding of \$1,271.0 million at a weighted-average interest rate of 4.11%. During the second quarter of 2004, we had weighted-average debt outstanding of \$594.0 million at a weighted-average interest rate of 3.21%.

#### Provision for Income Taxes

(in thousands)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Provision for income taxes	\$ 25,399	\$ 29,049	\$ (3,650)	(12.6%)
Effective tax rate	37.7%	38.7%		(1.0) point

The decrease in our effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2005, as compared to 2004, was primarily due to the new federal qualified production activity deduction which was passed as part of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. This impact was partially offset by higher accruals for income tax contingencies and increased state tax expense due to legislation enacted by various states, as well as changes in state tax rates due to the acquisition of NEBS.

22

#### Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization of Intangibles (EBITDA)

(in thousands)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
EBITDA	\$ 111,202	\$ 96,533	\$ 14,669	15.2%
Depreciation	(7,053)	(5,202)	(1,851)	(35.6%)
Amortization of intangibles	(22,431)	(11,128)	(11,303)	(101.6%)
Earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT)	81,718	80,203	1,515	1.9%
Interest expense, net	(14,356)	(5,128)	(9,228)	(180.0%)
Provision for income taxes	(25,399)	(29,049)	3,650	12.6%
Discontinued operations	93	(38)	131	344.7%
Net income	\$ 42,056	\$ 45,988	\$ (3,932)	(8.6%)

EBIT and EBITDA are not measures of financial performance under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States of America. We disclose these measures because they can be used to analyze profitability between companies and industries by eliminating the effects of financing (i.e., interest) and capital investments (i.e., depreciation and amortization). We believe these measures can indicate whether a company's earnings are adequate to pay its debts without regard to financing, capital structure or income taxes. We also believe that increases in these measures depict increased ability to attract financing and increase the valuation of our business. We do not consider these measures to be substitutes for performance measures calculated in accordance with GAAP. Instead, we believe that these are useful performance measures which should be considered in addition to those measures reported in accordance with GAAP.

#### Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2004

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Income from continuing operations	\$ 81,731	\$ 93,688	\$ (11,957)	(12.8%)
Net loss from discontinued operations	(303)	(38)	(265)	(697.4%)
Net income	\$ 81,428	\$ 93,650	\$ (12,222)	(13.1%)
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.87	\$ (0.26)	(13.9%)
Diluted	1.60	1.86	(0.26)	(14.0%)
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding:				
Basic	50,478	50,061	417	0.8%
Diluted (includes common stock equivalents)	50,845	50,473	372	0.7%

*Income from continuing operations* – The decrease in income from continuing operations in the first six months of 2005, as compared to 2004, was due to the loss of a large financial institution client in late 2004, the continuing decline in check usage, amortization resulting from the NEBS acquisition, higher interest expense due to financing associated with the NEBS acquisition, continued pricing pressure within our Financial Services segment and integration costs related to combining NEBS with our other businesses. These decreases were partially offset by the contribution of the NEBS businesses, contract termination payments of \$11.7 million received in the second quarter of 2005, increased sales of premium-priced licensed and specialty checks designs and additional value-added products and services, manufacturing productivity improvements and cost management efforts, including employee reductions during the past year.

23

*Loss from discontinued operations* – Discontinued operations in 2005 include the results of the apparel business acquired from NEBS, which we plan to sell during 2005. In addition to the apparel business, discontinued operations in 2004 also include the European operations of NEBS which we sold in the fourth quarter of 2004.

#### Consolidated Revenue

(dollars in thousands, except per unit amounts)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Revenue	\$ 871,796	\$ 617,900	\$ 253,896	41.1%
Excluding NEBS:				
Units (millions)	35.89	43.12	(7.23)	(16.8%)
Revenue per unit	\$ 15.34	\$ 14.16	\$ 1.18	8.4%

The acquisition of NEBS contributed an increase in revenue of \$313.6 million in the first six months of 2005. The \$59.7 million decrease in revenue for our other businesses compared to 2004 was due to a 16.8% decrease in units. The loss of a large financial institution client in late 2004 resulted in 8.9% of the decrease and was the largest single contributor to the volume decline. In addition, the volume decrease was due to the overall decline in the number of checks being written as a result of the increasing use of alternative payment methods and the following factors specific to our Direct Checks segment: lower direct mail consumer response rates, longer reorder cycles due to promotional strategies for multi-box orders and lower customer retention. Partially offsetting the volume decline was an 8.4% increase in revenue per unit as compared to 2004. We continued to benefit from increased sales of premium-priced licensed and specialty check designs and additional value-added products and services such as express delivery and fraud prevention, as well as price increases in our Direct Checks and Small Business Services segments. Additionally, the large financial institution client we lost in late 2004 received higher discount levels as a result of its check order volume. Thus, without this client's business, our revenue per unit measure improved. Lastly, \$11.7 million of contract termination payments received in the second quarter of 2005 contributed 2.2% of the 8.4% increase in revenue per unit.

#### Consolidated Gross Margin

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Gross profit	\$ 568,952	\$ 408,390	\$ 160,562	39.3%
Gross margin	65.3%	66.1%		(0.8) point

Gross margin decreased in the first six months of 2005, as compared to 2004, due to the addition of NEBS operations. NEBS historical gross margins have been seven to eight points lower than our other businesses because of its non-check product mix. This translated into a 3.7 percentage point decrease in our consolidated gross margin in the first six months of 2005. Additionally, as discussed earlier, our unit volume decreased 16.8% as compared to 2004. Offsetting these decreases was the higher revenue per unit discussed earlier, continued productivity improvements, on-going cost management efforts, including the closing of six printing facilities in 2004, as well as cost synergies resulting from the NEBS acquisition.

24

#### Consolidated Selling, General & Administrative Expense

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Selling, general and administrative expense	\$ 410,467	\$ 246,661	\$ 163,806	66.4%
SG&A as a percentage of revenue	47.1%	39.9%		7.2 points

The increase in SG&A expense in the first six months of 2005, as compared to 2004, was primarily due to expenses of the acquired NEBS business, \$20.8 million of acquisition-related amortization expense for certain intangible assets and \$7.7 million of integration expenses. Partially offsetting this increase was a \$4.7 million decrease in Direct Checks advertising expense due to spending for new product initiatives in 2004, as well as our cost management efforts, including savings realized from employee reductions.

SG&A expense as a percentage of revenue increased in the first six months of 2005, as compared to 2004, primarily due to NEBS results, which increased our SG&A percentage by 4.9 percentage points. NEBS has historically had a higher SG&A percentage than our other businesses due to its greater reliance on direct mail and a direct sales force to acquire and retain customers and not utilizing a shared services environment for SG&A functions. Additionally, as previously discussed, these results included \$20.8 million

of acquisition-related amortization expense and \$7.7 million of integration expenses. The increase in SG&A expense as a percentage of revenue for our other businesses was due to the decline in revenue being greater than the reduction in SG&A expense.

### Interest Expense

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Interest expense	\$ 27,974	\$ 10,377	\$ 17,597	169.6%

The increase in interest expense in the first six months of 2005, as compared to 2004, was due to our higher debt level resulting from the acquisition of NEBS, as well as higher interest rates. During the first six months of 2005, we had weighted-average debt outstanding of \$1,243.7 million at a weighted-average interest rate of 4.09%. During the first six months of 2004, we had weighted-average debt outstanding of \$585.4 million at a weighted-average interest rate of 3.62%.

### Provision for Income Taxes

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Provision for income taxes	\$ 49,669	\$ 58,513	\$ (8,844)	(15.1%)
Effective tax rate	37.8%	38.4%		(0.6) point

The decrease in our effective tax rate in the first six months of 2005, as compared to 2004, was primarily due to the new federal qualified production activity deduction which was passed as part of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. This impact was partially offset by higher accruals for income tax contingencies and increased state tax expense due to legislation enacted by various states, as well as changes in state tax rates due to the acquisition of NEBS.

25

### Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization of Intangibles (EBITDA)

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
EBITDA	\$ 219,171	\$ 192,962	\$ 26,209	13.6%
Depreciation	(14,213)	(9,866)	(4,347)	(44.1%)
Amortization of intangibles	(45,941)	(20,714)	(25,227)	(121.8%)
EBIT	159,017	162,382	(3,365)	(2.1%)
Interest expense, net	(27,617)	(10,181)	(17,436)	(171.3%)
Provision for income taxes	(49,669)	(58,513)	8,844	15.1%
Discontinued operations	(303)	(38)	(265)	(697.4%)
Net income	\$ 81,428	\$ 93,650	\$ (12,222)	(13.1%)

EBIT and EBITDA are not measures of financial performance under GAAP in the United States of America. We disclose these measures because they can be used to analyze profitability between companies and industries by eliminating the effects of financing (i.e., interest) and capital investments (i.e., depreciation and amortization). We believe these measures can indicate whether a company's earnings are adequate to pay its debts without regard to financing, capital structure or income taxes. We also believe that increases in these measures depict increased ability to attract financing and increase the valuation of our business. We do not consider these measures to be substitutes for performance measures calculated in accordance with GAAP. Instead, we believe that these are useful performance measures which should be considered in addition to those measures reported in accordance with GAAP.

### ACQUISITION OF NEW ENGLAND BUSINESS SERVICE, INC.

As discussed earlier, on June 25, 2004, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of NEBS for \$44 per share and agreed to redeem all outstanding NEBS stock options for \$44 per option share less the option exercise price. The total purchase price, including direct costs of the acquisition, was \$639.8 million. To finance the acquisition, we utilized a bridge financing agreement, as well as commercial paper. Nearly all of this debt was re-financed in the fourth quarter of 2004 when we issued \$600.0 million of long-term debt. Further details concerning this long-term debt can be found under the caption "Note 9: Debt" in the Condensed Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Part I of this report. NEBS results of operations are included in our consolidated results of operations from the acquisition date.

Details concerning the assets acquired and liabilities assumed are presented in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. Our allocation of the purchase price reflected \$29.7 million of restructuring accruals for NEBS activities which we have decided to exit. These accruals primarily included severance payments, as well as \$2.8 million due under noncancelable operating leases on facilities which have been or will be vacated as we consolidate operations. The severance accruals included payments due to 773 employees. This includes employees in the Tucker, Georgia printing facility which was closed during

the fourth quarter of 2004, the Los Angeles, California facility which we plan to close by the end of 2005 and the Athens, Ohio facility which we will begin closing in 2005 and which we believe will be completely closed by mid-2006. Additionally, the accruals include employees in various functional areas throughout the organization resulting from our shared services approach to manufacturing and certain SG&A functions. The severance accruals also include amounts due to certain NEBS executives under change of control provisions included in their employment agreements, as we eliminate redundancies between the two companies. Restructuring payments are expected to be substantially completed by the end of 2006, utilizing cash from operations. As a result of these facility closures and employee reductions, we expect to realize cost savings of approximately \$7 million in cost of goods sold and \$18 million in SG&A expense in 2006, in comparison to NEBS historical results of operations.

During the fourth quarter of 2004, we disposed of substantially all of the operations of NEBS European businesses. Not included in this sale was a building located in the United Kingdom. This building remains for sale and is expected to be sold during 2005. Also during the fourth quarter of 2004, we announced the planned sale of NEBS' apparel business known as PremiumWear. This sale will allow us to focus our resources on the many key initiatives underway within Small Business Services. We anticipate that this sale will be completed in 2005. The results of operations of these businesses are reflected as discontinued operations in our consolidated financial statements.

## SEGMENT RESULTS

Additional financial information regarding our business segments appears under the caption "Note 12: Business segment information" of the Condensed Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Part I of this report.

### Small Business Services

Small Business Services sells business checks, forms and related printed products to more than six million small businesses and home offices. We also distribute packaging, shipping and warehouse supplies, advertising specialties and other business products, and offer payroll services. These products are sold through direct response marketing, financial institution referrals and via sales representatives, independent distributors and the internet. The following table shows the results of this segment for the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

(in thousands)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Revenue	\$ 223,751	\$ 69,098	\$ 154,653	223.8%
Operating income	21,903	19,154	2,749	14.4%
% of revenue	9.8%	27.7%	—	(17.9) points

The NEBS acquisition contributed an increase in revenue of \$153.1 million in the second quarter of 2005. Additionally, the increase in revenue resulted from price increases and our ability to leverage the many small business products and sales channels we now possess as a result of the NEBS acquisition, partially offset by the loss of a large financial institution client in late 2004.

The increase in operating income was due to price increases and lower delivery and materials costs as we were able to negotiate lower prices subsequent to the NEBS acquisition. Partially offsetting these increases was a change in our methodology for allocating corporate costs to our business segments. As discussed under the caption "Note 12: Business segment information" of the Condensed Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Part I of this report, we began allocating corporate costs to the NEBS portion of Small Business Services on April 1, 2005. As such, Small Business Services now bears a larger portion of corporate costs. This change resulted in a \$4.6 million decrease in Small Business Services operating income in the second quarter of 2005. The decrease in operating margin was due to NEBS lower margin business. NEBS historically has had lower operating margins than our other businesses because of its non-check product mix and its greater reliance on direct mail and a direct sales force to acquire and retain customers.

The following table shows the results of this segment for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Revenue	\$ 448,932	\$ 132,174	\$ 316,758	239.7%
Operating income	46,902	39,336	7,566	19.2%
% of revenue	10.4%	29.8%	—	(19.4) points

The NEBS acquisition contributed an increase in revenue of \$313.6 million in the first six months of 2005. Additionally, the increase in revenue resulted from price increases, increased financial institution referrals and our ability to leverage the many small business products and sales channels we now possess as a result of the NEBS acquisition. These increases were partially offset by the loss of a large financial institution client in late 2004.

The increase in operating income was due to the acquisition of NEBS, price increases and lower delivery and materials costs as we were able to negotiate lower prices subsequent to the NEBS acquisition. Acquisition-related amortization of \$20.8 million and integration costs of \$7.7 million was more than offset by the \$32.9 million contribution from the NEBS businesses. Additionally, as discussed above, the allocation of corporate costs to the NEBS portion of Small Business Services resulted in a \$4.6 million decrease in Small Business Services operating income. The decrease in operating margin was due to the preceding factors and NEBS lower margin business. NEBS historically has had lower operating margins than our other businesses because of its non-check product mix and its greater reliance on direct mail and a direct sales force to acquire and retain customers.

## Financial Services

Financial Services sells personal and business checks and related products and services to financial institutions. Value-added services we offer to our financial institution clients include customized reporting, file management, expedited account conversion support and fraud prevention. The following table shows the results of this segment for the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

(in thousands)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Revenue	\$ 149,378	\$ 168,954	\$ (19,576)	(11.6%)
Operating income	40,243	40,484	(241)	(0.6%)
% of revenue	26.9%	24.0%	—	2.9 points

The decrease in revenue was due to the loss of a large financial institution client in late 2004, continuing pricing pressure and the continuing decline in the number of checks being written due to the increasing use of alternative payment methods. Partially offsetting these decreases was \$11.7 million of contract termination payments received during the second quarter of 2005.

Despite the \$19.6 million revenue decrease, operating income was flat compared to 2004. The revenue decline was offset by productivity improvements and cost management efforts, including the closing of four printing facilities in 2004. Additionally, as discussed earlier, we began allocating corporate costs to the NEBS portion of Small Business Services on April 1, 2005. This change benefited Financial Services, as NEBS now bears a portion of corporate costs. This change resulted in a \$3.2 million benefit to Financial Services in the second quarter of 2005.

28

The following table shows the results of this segment for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Revenue	\$ 294,608	\$ 337,606	\$ (42,998)	(12.7%)
Operating income	71,686	81,356	(9,670)	(11.9%)
% of revenue	24.3%	24.1%	—	0.2 point

The decrease in revenue was due to the loss of a large financial institution client in late 2004, the continuing decline in the number of checks being written due to the increasing use of alternative payment methods and continuing pricing pressure. Partially offsetting these decreases was \$11.7 million of contract termination payments received during the second quarter of 2005.

The decrease in operating income was the result of the revenue decline, partially offset by productivity improvements and cost management efforts, including the closing of four printing facilities in 2004. Additionally, as discussed earlier, the allocation of corporate costs to the NEBS portion of Small Business Services resulted in a \$3.2 million benefit to Financial Services in the first six months of 2005.

## Direct Checks

Direct Checks sells checks and related products directly to consumers through direct mail and the internet. We use a variety of direct marketing techniques to acquire new customers in the direct-to-consumer channel, including freestanding inserts in newspapers, in-package advertising, statement stuffers and co-op advertising. We also use e-commerce strategies to direct traffic to our websites. Direct Checks sells under the Checks Unlimited and Designer Checks brand names. The following table shows the results of this segment for the quarters ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

(in thousands)	Quarter Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Revenue	\$ 61,347	\$ 71,016	\$ (9,669)	(13.6%)
Operating income	19,613	20,285	(672)	(3.3%)
% of revenue	32.0%	28.6%	—	3.4 points

The decrease in revenue was due to lower unit volume resulting from an overall decline in the number of checks being written, lower consumer response rates to direct mail advertisements, longer reorder cycles due to our promotional strategies for multi-box orders and lower customer retention. Partially offsetting the revenue pressures from the volume decline was an increase in revenue per order due to price increases, the improved effectiveness of our selling techniques and continued strength in selling premium-priced licensed and specialty check designs and additional value-added products and services.

The decrease in operating income was primarily due to the revenue decline, partially offset by productivity improvements, a \$2.6 million decrease in advertising costs related to new product initiatives in 2004 and cost management efforts, including savings realized from employee reductions. Additionally, as discussed earlier, we began allocating corporate costs to the NEBS portion of Small Business Services on April 1, 2005. This change benefited Direct Checks, as NEBS now bears a portion of corporate costs. This change resulted in a \$1.5 million benefit to Direct Checks in the second quarter of 2005. Operating income as a percentage of revenue increased compared to 2004 due to the increase in revenue per unit and the cost decreases discussed here.

29

The following table shows the results of this segment for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 (in thousands):

(in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	%
Revenue	\$ 128,256	\$ 148,120	\$ (19,864)	(13.4%)
Operating income	39,897	41,037	(1,140)	(2.8%)
% of revenue	31.1%	27.7%	—	3.4 points

The decrease in revenue was due to lower unit volume resulting from an overall decline in the number of checks being written, lower consumer response rates to direct mail advertisements, longer reorder cycles due to our promotional strategies for multi-box orders and lower customer retention. Partially offsetting the revenue pressures from the volume decline was an increase in revenue per order due to price increases, the improved effectiveness of our selling techniques and continued strength in selling premium-priced licensed and specialty check designs and additional value-added products and services.

The decrease in operating income was primarily due to the revenue decline, partially offset by productivity improvements, a \$4.7 million decrease in advertising costs related to new product initiatives in 2004 and cost management efforts, including savings realized from employee reductions. Additionally, as discussed earlier, the allocation of corporate costs to the NEBS portion of Small Business Services resulted in a \$1.5 million benefit to Direct Checks in the first six months of 2005. Operating income as a percentage of revenue increased compared to 2004 due to the increase in revenue per unit and the cost decreases discussed here.

## LIQUIDITY, CAPITAL RESOURCES AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

As of June 30, 2005, we held cash and cash equivalents of \$33.1 million. The following table shows our cash flow activity for the six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated statements of cash flows (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2005	2004	\$	
<b>Continuing operations:</b>				
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 44,957	\$ 123,546	\$ (78,589)	
Net cash used by investing activities	(24,479)	(588,045)	563,566	
Net cash (used) provided by financing activities	(1,964)	480,641	(482,605)	
Effect of exchange rate change on cash	(237)	—	(237)	
Net cash provided by continuing operations	18,277	16,142	2,135	
Discontinued operations	(704)	—	(704)	
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 17,573	\$ 16,142	\$ 1,431	

The \$78.6 million decrease in cash provided by operating activities in the first six months of 2005, as compared to 2004, was due primarily to increases in contract acquisition payments related to new financial institution contracts, employee profit sharing and pension payments related to our 2004 operating results and interest payments due to our higher debt level and higher interest rates. These decreases in cash provided by operating activities were partially offset by NEBS positive operating cash flows.

Included in the \$45.0 million of cash provided by operating activities in the first six months of 2005 were the following operating cash outflows: contract acquisition payments to financial institution clients of \$63.5 million, income tax payments of \$55.1 million, employee profit sharing and pension payments of \$40.0 million, voluntary employee beneficiary association (VEBA) trust contributions of \$36.5 million and interest payments of \$27.9 million. Included in the \$123.5 million of cash provided by operating activities in the first six months of 2004 were the following operating cash outflows: income tax payments of \$43.2 million, VEBA trust contributions of \$35.9 million, employee profit sharing and pension contributions of \$20.6 million, interest payments of \$12.3 million and contract acquisition payments to financial institution clients of \$9.2 million.

30

Cash used by investing activities for the first six months of 2005 was \$563.6 million lower than 2004 due primarily to the acquisition of NEBS in 2004. Cash used by financing activities for the first six months of 2005 was \$482.6 million higher than 2004 due to cash provided by borrowings in 2004 related to financing the acquisition of NEBS, partially offset by the payment of NEBS long-term debt in 2004 and share repurchases in 2004.

Significant cash inflows, excluding those related to operating activities, for each period were as follows (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004
Net borrowings of short-term debt	\$ 27,313	\$ 702,891
Proceeds from shares issued under employee plans	8,404	11,098

Significant cash outflows, excluding those related to operating activities, for each period were as follows (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004
Cash dividends paid to shareholders	\$ 40,575	\$ 37,115
Purchases of capital assets	26,230	13,688
Payments for acquisition, net of cash acquired	899	550,111
Payments on long-term debt	690	165,617
Payments for common shares repurchased	—	26,637

31

We believe that two important measures of our financial strength are the ratio of EBIT<sup>(1)</sup> to interest expense, and the ratio of free cash flow<sup>(2)</sup> to total debt.

EBIT to interest expense was 6.8 times on a trailing four-quarter basis through June 30, 2005 and 10.6 times for 2004. Our committed lines of credit contain a covenant requiring a minimum EBIT to interest expense ratio on a trailing four-quarter basis of 3.0 times. The decrease in 2005 was primarily due to higher interest expense resulting from higher debt levels to fund the acquisition of NEBS in June 2004, as well as higher interest rates. We expect this ratio will continue to decrease over the next two quarters of 2005 due to the re-financing of a portion of our short-term debt with higher rate long-term debt in the fourth quarter of 2004. Nonetheless, we believe the risk of violating this financial covenant is low as we expect solid profitability and cash flow to continue. The comparable ratio of net income to interest expense was 3.7 times on a trailing four-quarter basis through June 30, 2005 and 6.0 times for 2004.

Free cash flow to total debt was 7.5% on a trailing four-quarter basis through June 30, 2005 and 15.2% for 2004. We calculate free cash flow as cash provided by operating activities less purchases of capital assets and dividends paid to shareholders. The decrease in 2005, as compared to 2004, was primarily due to the decrease in operating cash flow in the first six months of 2005 discussed earlier. The comparable ratio of net cash provided by operating activities to total debt was 18.0% on a trailing four-quarter basis through June 30, 2005 and 24.7% for 2004.

(1) Further information regarding our use of EBIT was provided earlier under *Consolidated Results of Operations*. EBIT on a trailing four-quarter basis is derived from net income as follows (in thousands):

	Twelve Months Ended	
	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
EBIT	\$ 344,990	\$ 348,354
Interest expense, net	(48,918)	(31,481)
Provision for income taxes	(109,381)	(118,225)
Discontinued operations	(922)	(657)
Net income	\$ 185,769	\$ 197,991

(2) Free cash flow is not a measure of financial performance under GAAP. We monitor free cash flow on an ongoing basis, as it measures the amount of cash generated from our operating performance after investment initiatives and the payment of dividends. It represents the amount of cash available for debt service, general corporate purposes and strategic initiatives. We do not consider free cash flow to be a substitute for performance measures calculated in accordance with GAAP. Instead, we believe that free cash flow is a useful liquidity measure which should be considered in addition to those measures reported in accordance with GAAP. The measure of free cash flow to total debt is a liquidity measure which illustrates to what degree our free cash flow covers our existing debt. Free cash flow is derived from net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations as follows (in thousands):

Twelve Months Ended

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
Free cash flow	\$ 94,881	\$ 189,472
Purchases of capital assets	56,359	43,817
Cash dividends paid to shareholders	77,762	74,302
Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations	<u>\$ 229,002</u>	<u>\$ 307,591</u>

Total debt outstanding was comprised of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2005	December 31, 2004
3.5% senior, unsecured notes due October 1, 2007, net of discount	\$ 324,849	\$ 324,815
5.0% senior, unsecured notes due December 15, 2012, net of discount	298,588	298,494
5.125% senior, unsecured notes due October 1, 2014, net of discount	274,430	274,399
2.75% senior, unsecured notes due September 15, 2006	50,000	50,000
Long-term portion of capital lease obligations	5,490	6,140
Long-term portion of debt	953,357	953,848
Commercial paper	291,313	264,000
Variable rate senior, unsecured notes due November 4, 2005	25,000	25,000
Capital lease obligations due within one year	1,297	1,359
Total debt	<u>\$ 1,270,967</u>	<u>\$ 1,244,207</u>

Further information concerning our outstanding debt can be found under the caption "Note 9: Debt" in the Condensed Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements appearing in Part I of this report.

We also have committed lines of credit which primarily support our commercial paper program. The credit agreements governing the lines of credit contain customary covenants regarding the ratio of EBIT to interest expense and levels of subsidiary indebtedness. No amounts were drawn on these lines of credit during the first six months of 2005 or during 2004, and no amounts were outstanding under these lines of credit as of June 30, 2005. To the extent not needed to support outstanding commercial paper, we may borrow funds under our committed lines of credit. As of June 30, 2005, \$208.7 million was available under our committed lines of credit for borrowing or for support of additional commercial paper, as follows (in thousands):

	Total available	Expiration date	Commitment fee
364-day line of credit	\$ 100,000	July 2005	.100%
Five year line of credit	175,000	August 2007	.125%
Five year line of credit	225,000	July 2009	.125%
Total committed lines of credit	500,000		
Commercial paper outstanding	(291,313)		
Net available for borrowing as of June 30, 2005	<u>\$ 208,687</u>		

On July 20, 2005, we entered into a new \$275.0 million line of credit which expires in July 2010 and carries a commitment fee of .09%. This new line of credit replaced our \$100.0 million 364-day line of credit which expired on July 20, 2005, as well as our \$175.0 million five year line of credit scheduled to expire in August 2007.

We also have uncommitted bank overdraft protection for \$50.0 million. No amounts were drawn on this overdraft protection during the first six months of 2005 or during 2004.

Absent certain defined events of default under our committed credit facilities, there are no significant contractual restrictions on our ability to pay cash dividends.

In August 2003, our board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to 10 million shares of our common stock. As of June 30, 2005, we had repurchased 2.1 million shares under this authorization. Although this authorization remains in place, we most likely will not repurchase a significant number of additional shares in the near future. Instead, we intend to focus on paying down the debt we issued to complete the NEBS acquisition.

We believe our future cash flows generated by operating activities and our available credit capacity are sufficient to support our operations, including capital expenditures, required debt service and dividend payments, for the foreseeable future.



*Changes in financial condition* – Other current assets increased \$16.9 million from December 31, 2004. The increase resulted from contributions to the VEBA trust which we use to fund employee and retiree medical costs, as well as severance benefits. During the six months ended June 30, 2005, we contributed \$36.5 million to the trust. Partially offsetting this increase was the use of VEBA funds to pay medical and severance benefits.

Other non-current assets increased \$26.7 million from December 31, 2004. The increase was primarily related to contract acquisition costs of our Financial Services segment. These costs are recorded as non-current assets upon contract execution and are amortized, generally on the straight-line basis, as reductions of revenue over the related contract term. Changes in contract acquisition costs during the first six months of 2005 were as follows (in thousands):

Balance, December 31, 2004	\$ 83,825
Cash payments	63,506
Decrease in contract acquisition obligations	(19,715)
Amortization	(16,750)
Refunds for contract terminations	(5,607)
Balance, June 30, 2005	<u>\$ 105,259</u>

The number of checks being written has been in decline since the mid-1990s, which has contributed to increased competitive pressure when attempting to retain or obtain clients. Beginning in 2001, as competitive pressure intensified, both the number of financial institution clients requiring contract acquisition payments and the amount of the payments increased. Although we anticipate that we will continue to make contract acquisition payments, we cannot quantify future amounts with certainty. The amount paid is dependent on numerous factors such as the number and timing of contract executions and renewals, the competitors' actions, overall product discount levels and the structure of up-front product discount payments versus providing higher discount levels throughout the term of the contract. We anticipate that these payments will continue to be a significant use of cash. When the overall discount level provided for in a contract is unchanged, contract acquisition costs do not result in lower net revenue. The impact of these costs is the timing of cash flows. An up-front cash payment is made as opposed to providing higher product discount levels throughout the term of the contract.

Liabilities for contract acquisition payments are recorded upon contract execution. Contract acquisition payments due within the next year are included in accrued liabilities in our consolidated balance sheets. These accruals were \$6.6 million as of June 30, 2005 and \$11.5 million as of December 31, 2004.

Shareholders' deficit was \$124.1 million as of June 30, 2005. We are in a deficit position due to the required accounting treatment for share repurchases. We have not repurchased any shares since the second quarter of 2004. Share repurchases during the preceding three years were as follows (in thousands):

	2004	2003	2002
Dollar amount	\$ 25,520	\$ 508,243	\$ 172,803
Number of shares	634	12,239	3,898

Given the strength of our financial position, as reflected in our coverage ratios such as EBIT to interest expense and free cash flow to total debt, we do not expect our shareholders' deficit position to result in any adverse reaction from rating agencies or others that would negatively affect our liquidity or financial condition. We expect our shareholders' deficit to continue to decrease in future periods, absent additional share repurchase activity.

## CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

A table of our contractual obligations was provided in the *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. There were no significant changes in these obligations during the six months ended June 30, 2005.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS/CONTINGENT COMMITMENTS

It has not been our practice to enter into off-balance sheet arrangements. In the normal course of business, we periodically enter into agreements that incorporate general indemnification language. These indemnifications encompass such items as product or service defects, intellectual property rights, governmental regulations and/or employment-related matters. Performance under these indemnities would generally be triggered by a breach of terms of the contract or by a third-party claim. There have historically been no material losses related to such indemnifications, and we do not expect any material adverse claims in the future. We have established a formal contract review process to assist in identifying significant indemnification clauses.

We are not engaged in any transactions, arrangements or other relationships with unconsolidated entities or other third parties that are reasonably likely to have a material effect on our liquidity, or on our access to, or requirements for capital resources. In addition, we have not established any special purpose entities.

## RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

We entered into no significant related party transactions during the six months ended June 30, 2005 or during 2004.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A description of our critical accounting policies was provided in the *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. There were no changes to these accounting policies during the six months ended June 30, 2005.

## NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. FAS 109-2, *Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004*. This FSP allows additional time for companies to determine how the new law affects a company's accounting for deferred tax liabilities on unremitted foreign earnings. The new law provides for a special one-time deduction of 85% of certain foreign earnings that are repatriated and which meet certain requirements. We are currently evaluating whether any of the earnings of our Canadian operations will be repatriated in accordance with the terms of this law. Any repatriation of these earnings must occur in 2005. We have completed our analysis and expect to decide on a plan for repatriation of our unremitted foreign earnings in the third quarter of 2005. The maximum amount of earnings that could be repatriated is approximately \$34 million, which would result in tax expense of approximately \$2.4 million.

35

---

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*. The new statement is referred to as SFAS No. 123(R) and is entitled *Share-Based Payment*. The new statement requires companies to recognize expense for stock-based compensation in the statement of income and is effective for us on January 1, 2006. We do not expect the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) to result in a significant change in the compensation expense we currently recognize in our statements of income under SFAS No. 123. In conjunction with our adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) in 2006, we will modify our method of recognizing compensation expense for stock option awards granted to individuals achieving "qualified retiree" status prior to completion of the option's normal vesting period. Currently, we recognize expense for such awards over their applicable vesting period, with cost recognition accelerated if and when an employee retires with qualified retiree status. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), we will recognize the entire expense for these awards over the period from the date of grant until the date an employee is expected to achieve qualified retiree status under the terms of the applicable option agreement. If we had applied this accounting methodology during the quarters and six months ended June 30, 2005 and 2004, it would have had no impact on the diluted earnings per share we reported for those periods.

## OUTLOOK

We expect that 2005 revenue will increase from 2004. This includes the full-year impact of the NEBS acquisition and continued growth in revenues from our Small Business Services business referral program, partially offset by a continuing decline in check revenue for our Financial Services and Direct Checks segments. We continue to partner with our financial institution clients to increase their participation in our business referral program, leveraging our expanded product offerings and customer base following the acquisition of NEBS.

We expect that 2005 operating income will decrease. We expect to realize approximately \$27 million of net cost savings in 2005 from the closing of Financial Services check printing facilities and other employee reductions within our Financial Services and Direct Checks segments. These savings are in comparison to our 2004 results of operations. Further information concerning these initiatives can be found under the caption "Note 6: Restructuring accruals" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. However, we recognize that cost management efforts alone will not be sufficient to offset all of the revenue loss in the Financial Services and Direct Checks segments. We expect diluted earnings per share to be between \$0.72 and \$0.76 for the third quarter of 2005 and approximately \$3.30 for the full year.

We anticipate that operating cash flow will be approximately \$220 million in 2005, compared to \$308 million in 2004. The decrease in 2005 is due primarily to an increase in contract acquisition payments to financial institutions and higher payments in early 2005 for performance-based employee compensation related to our 2004 operating performance, partially offset by a positive contribution from NEBS. Our estimate of full year operating cash flow has decreased from our previous estimates due to changes in working capital and higher contract acquisition payments related to new financial institution contracts.

We expect to spend approximately \$50 million on purchases of capital assets during 2005. Approximately \$20 million is projected to be devoted to maintaining our business and completing integration projects, with the remainder targeted primarily for information technology initiatives.

Our strong cash flows allowed us to increase our quarterly dividend payment from \$0.37 per share to \$0.40 per share in the first quarter of 2005. Although dividends are subject to board of director approval on an ongoing basis, we currently expect no further changes to our dividend payment level. The 10 million share repurchase authorization approved by our board of directors in August 2003 remains in place. We do not expect to repurchase a significant number of additional shares in the near future, but intend to focus on paying down the debt issued to complete the acquisition of NEBS. We expect total debt to be approximately \$1.1 billion at the end of 2005.

We intend to continue seeking cost saving opportunities throughout the company. One example is the planned installation of the SAP sales and distribution module in portions of our order processing and call center operations. This new system will reduce redundancy while standardizing systems and processes. Phase one of this project is expected to be completed during the first half of 2006.

36

---

In addition, we intend to continue focusing on the successful integration of NEBS. We are transitioning to a shared services approach for both manufacturing and certain SG&A functions. As a result of this strategy, we closed the Tucker, Georgia facility in December 2004 and announced other reductions in employees across functional areas. We also intend to close the facility in Los Angeles, California by the end of 2005 and we plan to close the facility in Athens, Ohio by mid-2006. Our shared services approach will likely result in the exit of further activities. To ensure that we continue to meet customer expectations, significant analysis is required before additional plans can be finalized. With the exit

of these facilities and by taking advantage of other operational synergies, we estimate that we will be able to eliminate at least \$25 million of costs annually beginning in 2005, in comparison to NEBS and Deluxe historical results of operations. A portion of these integration synergies will be reflected in the results of our Financial Services and Direct Checks segments, as the rest of our business also benefits from our increased purchasing power and the sharing of best practices.

We anticipate long-term growth in our Small Business Services segment from expanded product and service offerings and the inter-relationship between this segment and Financial Services. We expect these growth opportunities will more than offset the forecasted check unit declines in Financial Services and Direct Checks. Overall, we believe future cash flows generated by operating activities and our available credit capacity are sufficient to support our operations, including capital expenditures, required debt service and dividend payments, for the foreseeable future.

#### CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the Reform Act) provides a “safe harbor” for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information. We are filing this cautionary statement in connection with the Reform Act. When we use the words or phrases “should result,” “believe,” “intend,” “plan,” “are expected to,” “targeted,” “will continue,” “will approximate,” “is anticipated,” “estimate,” “project” or similar expressions in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, in future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), in our press releases and in oral statements made by our representatives, they indicate forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Reform Act.

We want to caution you that any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf are subject to uncertainties and other factors that could cause them to be wrong. Some of these uncertainties and other factors are discussed below under *Certain Factors That May Affect Future Results* (many of which have been discussed in prior filings with the SEC). Although we have attempted to compile a comprehensive list of these important factors, we want to caution you that other factors may prove to be important in affecting future operating results. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for us to predict all of these factors, nor can we assess the impact each factor or combination of factors may have on our business.

You are further cautioned not to place undue reliance on those forward-looking statements because they speak only of our views as of the date the statements were made. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

#### CERTAIN FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

*The check printing portion of the payments industry is mature and, if it declines faster than expected, it could have a materially adverse impact on our operating results.*

Check printing is, and is expected to continue to be, an essential part of our business and the principal source of our operating income. We primarily sell checks for personal and small business use and believe that there will continue to be a substantial demand for these checks for the foreseeable future. However, according to our estimates, the total number of checks written by individuals and small businesses continued to decline in 2004, and the total number of personal, business and government checks written in the United States has been in decline since the mid-1990s. We believe that the number of checks written will continue to decline due to the increasing use of alternative payment methods, including credit cards, debit cards, smart cards, automated teller machines, direct deposit, electronic and other bill paying services, home banking applications and internet-based payment services. However, the rate and the extent to which alternative payment methods will achieve consumer acceptance and replace checks, whether as a result of legislative developments, personal preference or otherwise, cannot be predicted with certainty. A surge in the popularity of any of these alternative payment methods could have a material, adverse effect on the demand for checks and a material, adverse effect on our business, results of operations and prospects.

37

---

*We face intense competition in all areas of our business.*

Although we are the leading check printer in the United States, we face considerable competition. In addition to competition from alternative payment systems, we also face intense competition from other check printers in our traditional financial institution sales channel, from direct mail sellers of checks, from sellers of business checks and forms, from check printing software vendors and, increasingly, from internet-based sellers of checks to individuals and small businesses. Additionally, low-price, high volume office supply chain stores offer standardized business forms, checks and related products to small businesses. The corresponding pricing pressure placed on us has been significant and has resulted in reduced profit margins. We expect these pricing pressures to continue to impact our results of operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete effectively against current and future competitors. Continued competition could result in additional price reductions, reduced profit margins, loss of customers and an increase in up-front cash payments to financial institutions upon contract execution or renewal.

*Continuing softness in direct mail response rates could have an adverse impact on our operating results.*

Our Direct Checks segment and portions of our Small Business Services segment have experienced declines in response and retention rates related to direct mail promotional materials. We believe that these declines are attributable to a number of factors, including the decline in check usage, the overall increase in direct mail solicitations received by our target customers, the gradual obsolescence of our standardized forms products and in the case of our direct-to-consumer check business, the multi-box promotional strategies employed by us and our competitors. Further, increases in the practice of financial institutions offering free checks to account holders may negatively impact our response and retention rates in the future. To offset these impacts, we may have to modify and/or increase our marketing and sales efforts, which could result in increased expense.

The profitability of our Direct Checks segment depends in large part on our ability to secure adequate advertising media placements at acceptable rates, as well as the consumer response rates generated by such advertising, and there can be no assurances regarding the future cost, effectiveness and/or availability of suitable advertising media. Competitive pressure may inhibit our ability to reflect any of these increased costs in the prices of our products. We can provide no assurance that we will be able to sustain our current levels of profitability in this situation.

*Consolidation among financial institutions may adversely affect our ability to sell our products.*

The number of financial institutions has declined due to large-scale consolidation in the last few years. In the past year, financial institution consolidation activities have begun to increase once again. Margin pressures arise from such consolidation as merged entities seek not only the most favorable prices formerly offered to the predecessor institutions, but also additional discounts due to the greater volume represented by the combined entity. This concentration greatly increases the importance of retaining our major financial institution clients and attracting significant additional clients in an increasingly competitive environment. The increase in general negotiating leverage possessed by such consolidated entities also presents a risk that new and/or renewed contracts with these institutions may not be secured on terms as favorable as those historically negotiated with these clients. Although we devote considerable efforts toward the development of a competitively priced, high quality suite of products and services for the financial services

industry, there can be no assurance that significant financial institution clients will be retained or that the loss of a significant client can be counterbalanced through the addition of new clients or by expanded sales to our remaining clients.

*Standardized business forms and related products face technological obsolescence and changing customer preferences.*

Continual technological improvements have provided small business customers with alternative means to enact and record business transactions. For example, the price and performance capabilities of personal computers and related printers now provide an alternate means to print business forms. Additionally, electronic transaction systems and off-the-shelf business software applications have been designed to automate several of the functions performed by business forms products. If we are unable to develop new products and services with comparable profit margins, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

*We face uncertainty with respect to recent and future acquisitions.*

We acquired NEBS in June 2004 and have stated that we expect to eliminate at least \$25 million of NEBS and Deluxe historical operating costs in 2005 as we integrate the two companies. We have also stated that we expect that the long-term growth opportunities in our Small Business Services segment will more than offset the check unit decline in our other segments. The integration of any acquisition involves numerous risks, including, among others, difficulties in assimilating operations and products, diversion of management's attention from other business concerns, potential loss of our key employees or key employees of acquired businesses, potential exposure to unknown liabilities and possible loss of our clients and customers or clients and customers of the acquired businesses. While we anticipate that we will be able to achieve our stated objectives, we can provide no assurance that one or more of these factors will not negatively impact our results of operations.

38

---

In regard to future acquisitions, we cannot predict whether suitable acquisition candidates can be acquired on acceptable terms or whether any acquired products, technologies or businesses will contribute to our revenues or earnings to any material extent. Significant acquisitions typically result in the incurrence of contingent liabilities or debt, or additional amortization expense related to acquired intangible assets, and thus, could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

*Our failure to successfully implement a project we have undertaken to replace major portions of our existing sales and distribution systems could negatively impact our business.*

During 2005, we will continue to expand our use of the SAP software platform with the planned installation of the SAP sales and distribution module. Once implemented, we expect the new system to reduce redundancy while standardizing systems and processes and reduce our costs. This is a significant information systems project with wide-reaching impacts on our internal operations and business. We can provide no assurance that the amount of this investment will not exceed our expectations and result in materially increased levels of expense or asset impairment charges. There is also no assurance that this initiative will achieve the expected cost savings or result in a positive return on our investment. Additionally, if the new system does not operate as intended, or is not implemented as planned, there could be disruptions in our business which could adversely affect our results.

*Forecasts involving future results reflect various assumptions that may prove to be incorrect.*

From time to time, our representatives make predictions or forecasts regarding our future results, including, but not limited to, forecasts regarding estimated revenues, earnings, earnings per share or operating cash flow. Any forecast regarding our future performance reflects various assumptions which are subject to significant uncertainties, and, as a matter of course, may prove to be incorrect. Further, the achievement of any forecast depends on numerous factors which are beyond our control. As a result, we cannot assure you that our performance will be consistent with any management forecasts or that the variation from such forecasts will not be material and adverse. You are cautioned not to base your entire analysis of our business and prospects upon isolated predictions, and are encouraged to use the entire mix of historical and forward-looking information made available by us, and other information affecting us and our products and services, including the factors discussed here.

In addition, independent analysts periodically publish reports regarding our projected future performance. The methodologies we employ in arriving at our own internal projections and the approaches taken by independent analysts in making their estimates are likely different in many significant respects. We expressly disclaim any responsibility to advise analysts or the public markets of our views regarding the current accuracy of the published estimates of independent analysts. If you are relying on these estimates, you should pursue your own investigation and analysis of their accuracy and the reasonableness of the assumptions on which they are based.

*We may be unable to protect our rights in intellectual property.*

Despite our efforts to protect our intellectual property, third parties may infringe or misappropriate our intellectual property or otherwise independently develop substantially equivalent products and services. In addition, designs licensed from third parties account for an increasing portion of our revenues, and there can be no guarantee that such licenses will be available to us indefinitely or on terms that would allow us to continue to be profitable with those products. The loss of intellectual property protection or the inability to secure or enforce intellectual property protection could harm our business and ability to compete. We rely on a combination of trademark and copyright laws, trade secret protection and confidentiality and license agreements to protect our trademarks, software and know-how. We may be required to spend significant resources to protect our trade secrets and monitor and police our intellectual property rights.

*We are dependent upon third party providers for certain significant information technology needs.*

We have entered into agreements with third party providers for the provision of information technology services, including software development and support services, and personal computer, telecommunications, network server and help desk services. In the event that one or more of these providers is not able to provide adequate information technology services, we would be adversely affected. Although we believe that information technology services are available from numerous sources, a failure to perform by one or more of our service providers could cause a disruption in our business while we obtain an alternative source of supply.

39

---

*Legislation relating to consumer privacy protection could harm our business.*

We are subject to regulations implementing the privacy and information security requirements of the federal financial modernization law known as the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and other federal regulation and state law on the same subject. These laws and regulations require us to develop and implement policies to protect the security and

confidentiality of consumers' nonpublic personal information and to disclose these policies to consumers before a customer relationship is established and annually thereafter. These regulations could have the effect of foreclosing future business initiatives.

More restrictive legislation or regulations have been introduced in the past and could be introduced in the future in Congress and the states. We are unable to predict whether more restrictive legislation or regulations will be adopted in the future. Any future legislation or regulations could have a negative impact on our business, results of operations or prospects.

Laws and regulations may be adopted in the future with respect to the internet, e-commerce or marketing practices generally relating to consumer privacy. Such laws or regulations may impede the growth of the internet and/or use of other sales or marketing vehicles. As an example, new privacy laws could decrease traffic to our websites, decrease telemarketing opportunities and decrease the demand for our products and services. Additionally, the applicability to the internet of existing laws governing property ownership, taxation, libel and personal privacy is uncertain and may remain uncertain for a considerable length of time.

*We may be subject to sales and other taxes which could have adverse effects on our business.*

In accordance with current federal, state and local tax laws, and the constitutional limitations thereon, we currently collect sales, use or other similar taxes in state and local jurisdictions where our direct-to-consumer businesses have a physical presence. One or more state or local jurisdictions may seek to impose sales tax collection obligations on us and other out-of-state companies which engage in remote or online commerce. Further, tax law and the interpretation of constitutional limitations thereon are subject to change. In addition, any new operations of these businesses in states where they do not currently have a physical presence could subject shipments of goods by these businesses into such states to sales tax under current or future laws. If one or more state or local jurisdictions successfully asserts that we must collect sales or other taxes beyond our current practices, it could have a material, adverse affect on our business.

*We may be subject to environmental risks.*

Our check printing facilities are subject to many existing and proposed federal and state regulations designed to protect the environment. In some instances, we owned and operated our check printing facilities before the environmental regulations came into existence. We have sold former check printing facilities to third parties and in some instances have agreed to indemnify the buyer of the facility for certain environmental liabilities. We have obtained insurance coverage related to environmental issues at certain of these facilities. We believe that, based on current information, we will not be required to incur additional material and uninsured expense with respect to these sites, but unforeseen conditions could result in additional exposure at lesser levels.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We are exposed to changes in interest rates primarily as a result of the borrowing activities used to support our capital structure, maintain liquidity and fund business operations. We do not enter into financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. During the first six months of 2005, we continued to utilize commercial paper to fund working capital requirements. In addition, we have various lines of credit available. The nature and amount of debt outstanding can be expected to vary as a result of future business requirements, market conditions and other factors. As of June 30, 2005, our total debt was comprised of the following (in thousands):

	Carrying amount	Fair value <sup>(1)</sup>	Weighted- average interest rate
Long-term notes maturing October 2007	\$ 324,849	\$ 322,215	3.50%
Long-term notes maturing December 2012	298,588	293,622	5.00%
Long-term notes maturing October 2014	274,430	274,953	5.13%
Commercial paper	291,313	291,313	3.23%
Long-term notes maturing September 2006	50,000	49,175	2.75%
Long-term notes maturing November 2005	25,000	24,959	3.27%
Capital lease obligations maturing through September 2009	6,787	6,787	10.33%
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	
Total debt	\$ 1,270,967	\$ 1,263,024	4.14%

(1) Based on quoted market rates as of June 30, 2005, except for our capital lease obligations which are shown at carrying value.

Based on the outstanding variable rate debt in our portfolio, a one percentage point increase in interest rates would have resulted in additional interest expense of \$1.4 million for the first six months of 2005 and \$1.1 million for the first six months of 2004.

As a result of the acquisition of NEBS in June 2004, we are now exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Investments in and loans and advances to foreign subsidiaries and branches, as well as the operations of these businesses, are denominated in foreign currencies, primarily the Canadian dollar. The effect of exchange rate changes is expected to have a minimal impact on our results of operations and liquidity, as NEBS foreign operations represent a relatively small portion of our business.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures – As of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date), we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the 1934 Act)). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at ensuring that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the 1934 Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in applicable rules and forms.

(b) Internal Control Over Financial Reporting – In April 2005, we migrated the majority of NEBS operations onto the information system used by our other operations for accounting, materials management and human resources. We anticipate that this implementation will enable the NEBS businesses to operate in a more efficient manner and enhance internal control over financial reporting. There were no other changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with our evaluation during the quarter ended June 30, 2005, which have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

---

## PART II-OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

We are involved in routine litigation incidental to our business, but there are no material pending legal proceedings to which we are a party or to which any of our property is subject.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

In August 2003, our board of directors approved an authorization to purchase up to 10 million shares of our common stock. This authorization has no expiration date and 7.9 million shares remain available for purchase under this authorization. During the second quarter of 2005, we did not purchase any of our own equity securities under this authorization, and we do not expect to repurchase a significant number of additional shares in the near future, as we intend to focus on paying off a portion of our outstanding debt. However, we have not terminated this authorization, and we may purchase additional shares under this authorization in the future.

While not considered repurchases of shares, we do at times withhold shares that would otherwise be issued under equity-based awards to cover the withholding taxes due as a result of the exercising or vesting of such awards. During the second quarter of 2005, we withheld 46 shares in conjunction with employee stock option exercises and the vesting of restricted stock units.

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

We held our annual shareholders' meeting on April 27, 2005.

43,959,971 shares were represented (87.12% of the 50,457,645 shares outstanding and entitled to vote at the meeting). Two items were considered at the meeting and the results of the voting were as follows:

#### Election of Directors:

The nominees in the proxy statement were: Ronald E. Eilers, T. Michael Glenn, Charles A. Haggerty, Isaiah Harris, Jr., William A. Hawkins, III, Cheryl Mayberry McKissack, Lawrence J. Mosner, Stephen P. Nachtsheim, Mary Ann O'Dwyer, and Martyn Redgrave. The results were as follows:

<u>Election of Directors</u>	<u>For</u>	<u>Withhold</u>
Ronald E. Eilers	43,705,297	254,674
T. Michael Glenn	43,657,933	302,038
Charles A. Haggerty	43,660,774	299,197
Isaiah Harris, Jr	43,690,851	269,120
William A. Hawkins, III	43,694,740	265,231
Cheryl Mayberry McKissack	43,699,255	260,716
Lawrence J. Mosner	43,051,787	908,184
Stephen P. Nachtsheim	43,673,725	286,246
Mary Ann O'Dwyer	43,694,625	265,346
Martyn R. Redgrave	43,698,212	261,759

Ratification of the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent auditors for the year ending December 31, 2005:

For:	43,782,623
Against:	128,739
Abstain:	48,609

---

### Item 6. Exhibits.

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Method of Filing</u>
-----------------------	--------------------	-------------------------

1.1	Purchase Agreement, dated September 28, 2004, by and among us and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC, as representatives of the several initial purchasers listed in Schedule 1 of the Purchase Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on October 4, 2004)	*
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 17, 2004, by and among us, Hudson Acquisition Corporation and New England Business Service, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit (d)(1) to the Deluxe Corporation Schedule TO-T filed with the Commission on May 25, 2004)	*
3.1	Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990)	*
3.2	Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1999)	*
4.1	Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, dated as of January 31, 1997, by and between us and Norwest Bank Minnesota, National Association, as Rights Agent, which includes as Exhibit A thereto, the form of Rights Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 1 on Form 8-A/A-1 (File No. 001-07945) filed with the Commission on February 7, 1997)	*
4.2	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Rights Agreement, entered into as of January 21, 2000, between us and Norwest Bank Minnesota, National Association as Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2000)	*
4.3	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of December 4, 2002, by and between us and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, N.A. (formerly Norwest Bank Minnesota, National Association), as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 5, 2002)	*
4.4	Indenture, dated as of April 30, 2003, by and between us and Wells Fargo Bank Minnesota, N.A. (formerly Norwest Bank Minnesota, National Association), as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 (Registration No. 333-104858) filed with the Commission on April 30, 2003)	*
4.5	Form of Officer's Certificate and Company Order authorizing the 2007 Notes, series B (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-120381) filed with the Commission on November 12, 2004)	*

---

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Method of Filing</u>
4.6	Specimen of 3 1/2% senior notes due 2007, series B (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-120381) filed with the Commission on November 12, 2004)	*
4.7	Form of Officer's Certificate and Company Order authorizing the 2014 Notes, series B (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.9 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-120381) filed with the Commission on November 12, 2004)	*
4.8	Specimen of 5 1/8% senior notes due 2014, series B (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.10 to the Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-120381) filed with the Commission on November 12, 2004)	*
12.1	Statement re: Computation of Ratios	Filed herewith
31.1	CEO Certification of Periodic Report pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
31.2	CFO Certification of Periodic Report pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.1	CEO and CFO Certification of Periodic Report pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Furnished herewith

\* Incorporated by reference

---

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DELUXE CORPORATION  
(Registrant)

Date: August 4, 2005

/s/ Lawrence J. Mosner

---

Lawrence J. Mosner  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 4, 2005

/s/ Douglas J. Treff

---

Douglas J. Treff  
Senior Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: August 4, 2005

/s/ Terry D. Peterson

---

Terry D. Peterson  
Vice President, Controller and  
Chief Accounting Officer  
(Principal Accounting Officer)

---

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Page Number</u>
12.1	Statement re: Computation of Ratios	
31.1	CEO Certification of Periodic Report pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
31.2	CFO Certification of Periodic Report pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
32.1	CEO and CFO Certification of Periodic Report pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	





**Deluxe Corporation**  
**Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges**

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2005	Year Ended December 31,				
		2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
<b>Earnings:</b>						
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ 81,731	\$ 316,873	\$ 299,380	\$ 340,722	\$ 297,534	\$ 273,429
Interest expense (excluding capitalized interest)	27,974	32,851	19,241	5,079	5,691	11,900
Portion of rent expense under long-term operating leases representative of an interest factor	2,292	4,929	2,478	3,058	3,540	3,520
<b>Total earnings</b>	<b>\$ 111,997</b>	<b>\$ 354,653</b>	<b>\$ 321,099</b>	<b>\$ 348,859</b>	<b>\$ 306,765</b>	<b>\$ 288,849</b>
<b>Fixed charges:</b>						
Interest expense (including capitalized interest)	\$ 28,318	\$ 33,299	\$ 19,241	\$ 5,139	\$ 5,691	\$ 11,900
Portion of rent expense under long-term operating leases representative of an interest factor	2,292	4,929	2,478	3,058	3,540	3,520
<b>Total fixed charges</b>	<b>\$ 30,610</b>	<b>\$ 38,228</b>	<b>\$ 21,719</b>	<b>\$ 8,197</b>	<b>\$ 9,231</b>	<b>\$ 15,420</b>
<b>Ratio of earnings to fixed charges</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>14.8</b>	<b>42.6</b>	<b>33.2</b>	<b>18.7</b>

**CEO CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC REPORT UNDER  
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Lawrence J. Mosner, Chief Executive Officer of Deluxe Corporation, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Deluxe Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 4, 2005

/s/ Lawrence J. Mosner

---

Lawrence J. Mosner  
Chief Executive Officer

**CFO CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC REPORT UNDER  
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Douglas J. Treff, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Deluxe Corporation, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Deluxe Corporation;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 4, 2005

/s/ Douglas J. Treff

---

Douglas J. Treff  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

**CEO AND CFO CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC REPORT**

We, Lawrence J. Mosner, Chief Executive Officer of Deluxe Corporation (the "Company"), and Douglas J. Treff, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that:

- (1) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m or 78o(d)); and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: August 4, 2005

/s/ Lawrence J. Mosner

---

Lawrence J. Mosner  
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Douglas J. Treff

---

Douglas J. Treff  
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Deluxe Corporation and will be retained by Deluxe Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

---